

**\*\*\*\*\*APPROVED MINUTES\*\*\*\*\***

Board of Architectural Review  
**Wednesday, September 2, 2020**  
7:00pm, Virtual Public Hearing  
Zoom Webinar

Members Present: Christine Roberts, Chair  
James Spencer, Vice Chair  
Christine Sennott  
Purvi Irwin  
John Sprinkle  
Lynn Neihardt

Members Absent: Robert Adams

Staff Present: Susan Hellman, Historic Preservation Planner  
William Conkey, AIA, Historic Preservation Architect

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

The Board of Architectural Review hearing was called to order at 7:00 p.m. Ms. Mr. Adams were unexcused. All other members were present at the meeting by video conference.

Ms. Roberts stated that due to the COVID-19 Pandemic emergency, the September 2, 2020 Public Hearing of the Board of Architectural Review (BAR) is being held electronically pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3708.2(A)(3), the Continuity of Government ordinance adopted by the City Council on June 20, 2020 or Sections 4-0.00(g) in HB29 and HB30 to undertake essential business. All of the members of the Board and staff are participating from remote locations through Zoom Webinar. The meeting can be accessed by the public through broadcasted live on the government channel 70, streaming on the City's website and can be accessed via Zoom hyperlink on the docket.

**II. MINUTES**

2. Consideration of the minutes from the **July 15, 2020** public hearing.

**BOARD ACTION: Approved**

By unanimous consent, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve the minutes from the July 15, 2020 meeting, as amended by the Chair.

**III. CONSENT CALENDAR**

3. **BAR #2020-00370 PG**  
Request for alterations at 428 North Peyton Street  
Applicant: Bethany Chalfant

**BOARD ACTION: Approved, as Submitted, 6-0**

By unanimous consent, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2020-00370, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

1. The HardiPlank siding has a smooth finish
2. That the existing screened openings on the porch's east elevation also be enclosed with windows

**IV. ITEM DEFERRED FROM THIS HEARING**

**4. BAR #2020-00307 OHAD**

Request to install small cell facility on a new standalone pole adjacent to 1 Prince Street.  
Applicant: Cellco Partnership dba Verizon Wireless

**BOARD ACTION: Deferred**

By unanimous consent, the Board of Architectural Review accepted the request for deferral of BAR #2020-00307.

**V. PREVIOUSLY DEFERRED BY THE BOARD**

**5. BAR #2020-00197 OHAD (*Amharic Translator Will Be Provided*)**

Request for complete demolition at 450 South Patrick Street, 900 Wolfe Street & 431 South Columbus Street.  
Applicant: Heritage at Old Town PropCo LLC

**BOARD ACTION: Approved, as Submitted, 5-1**

On a motion by Ms. Irwin and seconded by Mr. Spencer, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2020-00197, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 5-1. Mr. Sprinkle opposed.

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

None

**REASON**

The Board agreed that the buildings do not meet any of the six demolition criteria.

**SPEAKERS**

Cathy Puskar, attorney with Walsh, Colucci, Lubeley, Walsh, represented the applicant, gave a brief presentation, and answered questions. She explained the complete notification process and advised that no residents will be relocated prior to September of 2021.

Gail Rothrock, 505 Duke Street, claimed that the buildings to be demolished may be historic and that the City should hire an outside contractor to determine historic significance.

Brian Scholl, 800 Gibbon Street, complained that he could not access the case materials until Monday, 8/31 and argued that the buildings may be historic.

Stafford Ward felt that the height of the proposed development was too high; the Chair advised

him to save those comments for the concept review discussion.

Steve Milone, 907 Prince Street, represented the Old Town Civic Association. He stated that 416 South Alfred Street was not included in the project map, meaning that some owners of properties on Wolfe Street had not been notified. He also echoed Ms. Rothrock's comments.

Chris Morell, 421 South Columbus Street, felt that not enough surrounding properties were notified and that demolishing these buildings would significantly degrade the ambience of the Old and Historic District.

Yvonne Callahan, 735 South Lee Street, agreed with Ms. Rothrock and Mr. Milone that the City should hire an outside contractor to assess the potential historic value of the buildings.

Leslie Roberson, 422 South Columbus Street, President of Wilkes Row HOA, discussed the importance of local artisans.

## **DISCUSSION**

Mr. Sprinkle read a pre-written statement discussing the history of the site and its potential historic significance (see Attachment 1). He felt that the project should have some level of NEPA and/or NHPA Section 106 review and wondered if the existing buildings had to be demolished for the project to move forward. Ms. Puskar explained that HUD specifically indicated that no Section 106 review or environmental assessment was required. She also explained that the project cannot meet the goals of the Small Area Plan, approved in 2018, if the subject buildings remain standing.

Ms. Irwin felt that the 1970s construction of these buildings was undertaken in a discriminatory fashion, and that this project can right the wrongs of the past. She would like to see more historic research, providing a full, rich history. She felt that we can do better by bringing back the previous history while still incorporating the new.

Mr. Spencer appreciated Ms. Irwin's words, noting that we can do better in representing the history that was there. These particular buildings have no historic value. We should focus on what was there prior to their construction.

Ms. Sennott liked the idea of more research, noting that the existing buildings can be better. They feel like segregation. The new proposed design looks more integrated.

Ms. Neihardt felt the subject buildings, while not well built and a symbol of discrimination, are a part of our history regardless of their age. She reluctantly agrees with the demolition.

Ms. Roberts agreed with her colleagues, especially Ms. Irwin and Ms. Neihardt. She noted that the buildings were not made in a way that would meet the demolition criteria. The buildings are not important, but the setting is.

### **6. BAR #2020-00196 OHAD (*Amharic Translator Will Be Provided*)**

Request for concept review at 450 South Patrick Street, 900 Wolfe Street & 431 South Columbus Street.

Applicant: Heritage at Old Town PropCo LLC

Attachment: John Sprinkle statement (under separate cover)

## **SPEAKERS**

Cathy Puskar, attorney with Walsh Colucci, represented the applicant and introduced the project and answered questions.

Chase Eatherly, architect with Hord Coplan Macht, presented the contextual precedents and a review of the heights and ages of adjacent structures.

Ryan Kautz, architect with Hord Coplan Macht, presented the revised design for the project.

Leslie Roberson, President of HOA Wilkes Row, expressed concern about the location of the service entrance on the street.

Chris Morell, 421 South Columbus, was concerned about the number of units proposed for the development, and the fact that open space planned for the project is internal to the development. The small area plan includes drawings that show 4 story buildings instead of the height that is proposed.

Stafford Ward stated that the review of the demolition permit should not take place prior to the concept review. The height of the building needs to be approved by City Council, the review of the design with this height is misleading. He requests clarification on the number of units in the development. What are next steps in the review process? Why is Block 3 highlighted in this presentation and not in previous presentation. Applicant responded to questions.

Darryl Resio, 827 Wolfe Street, was looking for the proposed garage entrances on Alfred Street. He expressed concern about the size and height of the buildings relative to neighborhood. He appreciates revised styling for north end of Block 1 but the overall design does not reflect Old Town architecture.

Yvonne Callahan, 735 South Lee Street, appreciates the addition of balconies etc., but the revisions to the design are minimal in response to BAR comments. The design of the buildings could be anywhere in the region. She requested additional context photos to understand building. Building porosity is insufficient with bridges, add additional north-south openings.

Ellen Mosher, 324 North St. Asaph, shared a presentation comparing the proposed design to others from applicant website from around the region. The proposed design does not reflect the character of Old Town.

Brian Scholl, 800 Gibbon Street, stated that the proposed design is more compatible to Crystal City than Old Town, yet it is supposed to be a gateway to Old Town. Little evolution in design has been made from the previous submission. He stated that the proposed design is not permeable, and is concerned about loss of green space in the neighborhood.

Manfred Stommel, 428 South Columbus, stated that the neighborhood has been subject to flooding and is concerned about how the sewage system will handle additional runoff. He also believes that the design is appropriate for Crystal City.

Mary Marrow-Box, is concerned that there will not be enough space for interpretive elements.

Dirk Bouma, 419 South Columbus, stated that the Block 2 elevations are deceptive because the taller elements are grayed out.

Steve Milone, OTCA, stated that the revisions have only minimally addressed the architectural character. OTCA would like the applicant to include a physical model for the public and Board review. The 45' height limit as shown in the small area plan should be held without granting additional height. The 7-story portion of the building should be limited to 5 stories and blend into the district architecture.

Kay Morell, 425 South Columbus Street, is concerned that the new building will be much taller than the proposed and that the existing building blends into the neighborhood better. She feels that drawings are deceptive.

Marissa St. Louis, 728 South Patrick Street, pointed out that the proposed buildings do not give justice to previous inhabitants in the area.

Katherine Kolasowski, 807 Church Street wants to know if River Renew project will account for additional residents.

Amos Desjardin, 719 South Alfred Street, believes that the buildings that are proposed could be anywhere in the region and that the design eliminates open space.

## **DISCUSSION**

Ms. Irwin asked the applicant for a clarification on the proposed heights for the development. Ms. Puskar noted that the height of the buildings is being measured to the high point of the flat roof and that the 7 story buildings will be approximately 70' tall. Ms. Irwin appreciated the revisions to the design and liked the addition of the pedestrian mews and the recessed balconies. She preferred the northeast corner of the Block 1 building as previously submitted and believed that the revisions to the southeast corner of Block 2 are too fussy. She asked that the buildings include creative interpretive elements similar to the Belle Prix. She likes the arrangement of the massing but would like to see additional three-dimensional views. Ms. Irwin does not have a problem with the height as proposed.

Mr. Sprinkle asked how the proposed design addresses the guidance that is given for new construction in the Design Guidelines. He felt that the precedent images that were shown fit into the context of Old Town but that the proposed design does not match the precedents. He asked why it is not possible for the additional density that is mentioned in the small area plan to occur in projects outside the historic district. Mr. Sprinkle does not support the proposed height, scale, mass, or architectural character.

Ms. Neihardt stated that City is choosing to allow greater density in this neighborhood in lieu of spending money to create affordable housing units. The choice of the architectural style is not appropriate for Old Town. She believes that the applicant needs to start over on the design for the project and does not support the proposed height, scale, mass, or architectural character.

Ms. Sennott asked that the history of the neighborhood be integrated into the proposed design. She believes that there is no precedent for a contiguous building of this size within the historic district

and does not support the proposed height, mass, scale, or architectural character.

Mr. Spencer stated that the design as proposed could be located anywhere throughout the region and has not been integrated into the surrounding context. In the previous meeting he asked for additional context drawings and the applicant provided some but not enough. He stated that the scale of the building is too large.

Ms. Roberts stated that she believes that the applicant needs to consider hiring a new architect. She believes that the revisions that have been made to the design have only served to add to the perceived height and mass of the building. The proposed design does not reference buildings within the historic district. She was concerned that the permeability mentioned in the small area plan is not being implemented in the proposed design. She mentioned that these blocks will become the precedent for the development that occurs outside the district and therefore the Board has an important role in setting the expectations for the other designs as well as these buildings.

**7. BAR #2020-00368 PG**

Request for partial demolition/ encapsulation at 902 Oronoco Street.  
Applicants: Patricia Harris & Richard LaFace

**8. BAR #2020-00289 PG**

Request for addition at 902 Oronoco Street.  
Applicants: Patricia Harris & Richard LaFace

**BOARD ACTION: Approved, as Submitted, 6-0**

On a motion by Mr. Sprinkle and seconded by Ms. Neihardt, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2020-00289 & BAR #2020-00368, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

The applicant must submit updated window specifications with the building permit to confirm that the proposed windows met the *Alexandria New and Replacement Window Performance Specifications in the Historic District*.

**REASON**

The Board supported the application with staff recommendations.

**SPEAKERS**

Patricia Harris, property owner, was available to answer questions.

**DISCUSSION**

There was no discussion regarding this case.

**VI. NEW BUSINESS**

**9. BAR #2020-00361 OHAD**

Request for encapsulation at 700 South Washington Street (Parcel Address: 610 Franklin Street)  
Applicants: CH Sullyfield Associates, LLC, Randon Sullyfield, LLC, and CH South Washington Associates, LLC

**10. BAR #2020-00345 OHAD**

Request for addition at 700 South Washington Street (Parcel Address: 610 Franklin Street)  
Applicants: CH Sullyfield Associates, LLC, Randon Sullyfield, LLC, and CH South Washington Associates, LLC

**BOARD ACTION: Approved, as Amended, 5-0**

On a motion by Ms. Neihardt and seconded by Ms. Irwin, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2020-00345 & BAR #2020-00361, as amended. The motion carried on a vote of 5-0. Mr. Sprinkle recused.

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

1. Work with staff to simplify the design of the addition.

**REASON**

The Board felt that the conservatory addition was too classical and residential looking for the 1980s office building and recommended that the applicant work with staff to simplify the design.

**SPEAKERS**

Robert Brandt, applicant’s attorney, spoke in support of the project and answered questions. Mr. Brandt said that they were trying to differentiate the new addition from the existing building, per the *Design Guidelines*.

**DISCUSSION**

Ms. Neihardt said that she thought the addition was too classical for the 1980s office building and recommended that it be simplified. Ms. Roberts agreed and said that the addition seemed more appropriate for a residential structure and suggested that the window pattern be more compatible with the windows on the office building. Ms. Irvin and Mr. Spencer suggested that the architect consider simpler, larger windows and encouraged a restudy of the cornice.

**11. BAR #2020-00365 PG**

Request for partial demolition/ encapsulation at 315 North Alfred Street.  
Applicant: SW Alfred Development LLC

**12. BAR #2020-00364 PG**

Request for addition and alterations at 315 North Alfred Street.  
Applicant: SW Alfred Development LLC

**BOARD ACTION: Approved, as Amended, 5-0**

On a motion by Mr. Spencer and seconded by Ms. Neihardt, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2020-00364 & BAR #2020-00365, as amended. The motion carried on a vote of 5-0. Ms. Sennott recused.

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

1. Proposed replacement window size, location and configuration to be determined by staff based on physical evidence uncovered in the field during construction;
2. All historic siding on the west and south elevation must be retained and repaired where possible, and;

3. If it is an Early building, the front (west) windows, door, and trim should be painted wood.

**REASON**

The Board supported the revised staff recommendations.

**SPEAKERS**

Steve Kulinski, architect

**DISCUSSION**

There was no discussion regarding this case.

**13. BAR #2020-00366 PG**

Request for partial demolition/ encapsulation at 902 Pendleton Street.

Applicant: Michelle Haynes

**14. BAR #2020-00346 PG**

Request for addition and alterations at 902 Pendleton Street.

Applicant: Michelle Haynes

**BOARD ACTION: Approved, as Submitted, 6-0**

On a motion by Ms. Sennott and seconded by Ms. Neihardt, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2020-00346 & BAR #2020-00366, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

1. Windows on the addition must be one-over-one configuration, and without tint or reflective glass;
2. The existing masonry must remain unpainted; and,
3. Include the statements from Alexandria Archaeology, below, in the General Notes of all on all construction documents that involve demolition or ground disturbance (including Basement/Foundation Plans, Demolition, Erosion and Sediment Control, Grading, Landscaping, Utilities, and Sheeting and Shoring) so that on-site contractors are aware of the requirements:
  - a. Call Alexandria Archaeology (703.746.4399) two weeks before the starting date of any ground disturbance so that a monitoring and inspection schedule for city archaeologists can be arranged.
  - b. Call Alexandria Archaeology immediately (703.746.4399) if any buried structural remains (wall foundations, wells, privies, cisterns, etc.) or concentrations of artifacts are discovered during development. Work must cease in the area of the discovery until a City archaeologist comes to the site and records the finds.
  - c. No metal detection may be conducted on the property, unless authorized by Alexandria Archaeology.

**REASON**

The Board supported the application with staff recommendations.

**SPEAKERS**

Michelle Haynes, property owner, was available to answer questions.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Board discussed alternatives to the use of vinyl windows and the appropriateness of the canopy style.

### **Moved to Consent Calendar**

#### **15. BAR #2020-00371 OHAD**

Request for alterations at 707 Prince Street.  
Applicant: DBL2M Prince LLC

### **BOARD ACTION: Approved, as Submitted, 6-0**

By unanimous consent, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2020-00371, as submitted.

#### **16. BAR #2020-00376 OHAD**

Request for partial demolition/ encapsulation at 109 South Lee Street.  
Applicant: Cabell Hickman

#### **17. BAR #2020-00374 OHAD**

Request for partial alterations at 109 South Lee Street.  
Applicant: Cabell Hickman

### **BOARD ACTION: Approved, as Submitted, 6-0**

On a motion by Ms. Sennott and seconded by Mr. Sprinkle, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2020-00374 & BAR #2020-00376. The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.

## **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

1. The new window on the south elevation complies with the City's *Alexandria New and Replacement Window Performance Specifications in the Historic Districts*
2. The applicant works with staff to choose the gas lantern design

## **REASON**

The Board in general, found that the proposed project will be minimally visible from a public right-of-way and agreed with staff recommendations

## **SPEAKERS**

Ms. Evelyn Smith, the project designer, agreed with staff recommendations and was available to answer questions.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Board did not have comments about the project. Mr. Sprinkle had a question about the proposed depth of the storage area which was clarified to be one foot by Ms. Smith. Ms. Irwin asked if the proposed window on the south elevation will be fire-rated due to the proximity with the neighbor's wall, it was also clarified by Ms. Smith that it will be.

## **VII. OTHER BUSINESS**

Note: Ms. Neihardt did not attend the rest of the hearing.

**18. BAR #2020-00378 OHAD**

Request for concept review at 114 North Alfred Street.

Applicant: Mechanic's Hall Properties, LLC

**SPEAKERS**

Bill Cromley, architect for the project, gave a brief presentation and answered questions.

Walter Grace, 908 Cameron Street, said that the proposed addition would destroy the beautiful view and his quality of life as it will block the sun in his yard and kill his plants. He described the addition as a monstrosity.

Tony Alexander, also at 908 Cameron Street, agreed with Mr. Grace and requested that the Board closely review the project.

James Robbins, 912 Cameron Street, agreed with Mr. Grace, noting the negative impact on quality of life. He felt that the design of the addition looks innovative and interesting but is too tall.

John Loomis, 112 and 114A North Alfred Street, claimed that most houses here have original gardens and viewpoints to the center of the block. This addition would destroy the open garden feel. He asked if the City requires some permeable ground.

Mr. Cromley responded that all of these are commercial properties, not residential, and all are permitted to build on the entire lot. The proposed addition would not affect the light. He undertook a light study that he will share with Mr. Grace and Mr. Alexander. He appreciated their comments and is happy to meet with them. He also noted that light is not under BAR purview.

**DISCUSSION**

Ms. Irwin checked to verify the roof heights.

Ms. Sennott felt that the roofline at the rear seems large, but the rest looks fine.

Mr. Spencer felt that the architectural character and scale are fine, he had no issue with the mass. As Mr. Cromley noted he intended to lower the height of the rearmost roof, Mr. Spencer also felt the height if fine.

Mr. Sprinkle asked Mr. Cromley if he had considered underground parking. Mr. Cromley responded that the lot is too narrow. Mr. Sprinkle approved the scale, height, mass, and architectural character.

Mr. Cromley explained that he can reduce the height of the rear/west roof by shortening the windows, shortening the roof pitch, or some combination of the two, bringing that roof down to the height of the original building, possibly lower. As part of stormwater management, the top 18" to 24" of the roof terrace wall will be a planter to absorb and filter water. This will provide a green screen and some privacy.

Ms. Roberts said that the project looks great. The inspirational photos are good. She encouraged

Mr. Cromley to talk with the neighbors.

**19. BAR #2020-00379 OHAD**

Request for concept review at 3601 Potomac Avenue (Pump Station associated with the redevelopment of North Potomac Yard).

Applicant: CPYR Theater, LLC

Note: Mr. Sprinkle recused himself from the discussion

**SPEAKERS**

Cathy Puskar, attorney with Walsh Colucci, represented the applicant and introduced the project and answered questions.

Lucia Tang, architect with Hickock Cole addressed the recommendations in the staff report. The use of concrete for the lower portion of the exterior wall would result in a revision to the footprint of the building because of space constraints for the equipment. She would look into various options for the proposed metal panels to provide the variety of textures indicated in the staff report. The intention for the design of the building is that it be a background to the adjacent park. They would like to keep the design of the panels as proposed in order to have a simple elevation.

There were no public speakers.

**DISCUSSION**

Ms. Roberts appreciated the staff comments but liked the design as submitted and is enthusiastic about the project.

Mr. Spencer liked the design of the building and asked staff for the reason behind the comments regarding the concrete wall. Mr. Conkey responded that this was an effort to get additional textures into the project and for durability of the lower portion of the wall. Mr. Spencer appreciated the comments but supports the project as submitted.

Ms. Irwin supports the project as submitted and is interested in seeing the potential development of the SWEE.

Ms. Sennott did not have much comment on the design and endorsed the project for height, mass, scale, and general architectural character.

Ms. Roberts indicated that the project should proceed to Certificate of Appropriateness and does not require an additional concept review.

**20. BAR #2020-00380 OHAD**

Request for concept review at 2407 Potomac Avenue (2405, 2401, 3701, 3251 Potomac Avenue, 700 Carpenter Road, 1702, 1880 and 2500 Potomac Greens Drive).

Applicants: City of Alexandria and Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA)

Note: Mr. Sprinkle recused himself from the discussion

**SPEAKERS**

Daphne Kott, project director with the Department of Project Implementation , City of Alexandria, represented the applicant and introduced the project.

Graham Thomas, architect with Leuterio Thomas, presented the project and answered questions. In response to the staff recommendations, the applicant is proposing revisions to the design. In order to make the canopy above the entrance similar to the north pavilion, they propose to split the canopy into two separate elements rather than wrap the corner. They will be relocating one of the bridge supports, which will allow them to relocate the mechanical equipment under the bridge to be concealed by the support. The user has indicated that the roof over the stair should remain and that they have maintenance concerns regarding the use of glass for the roof. They feel that the enclosure at the bottom of the stair is appropriate because the materials are the same as those used on the bridge.

There were no public speakers.

### **DISCUSSION**

Ms. Irwin stated that the roof does seem to be heavier than the one shown at the north pavilion and therefore supports the staff comment regarding revising the fascia profile. She appreciated the effort to move the mechanical and electrical equipment under the bridge. She endorsed the project for height, mass, scale, and general architectural character.

Ms. Sennot did not have any comments on the proposed design.

Mr. Spencer was interested in the continued development of the entry canopy but prefers a single canopy that wraps the corner if it can be made to feel lighter. He liked that the design for the stair includes a structure that does not touch the ground. He expressed concern regarding the heavy feel of the enclosure at the bottom of the stair.

Ms. Roberts agreed with her colleagues and is interested in the potential use of artwork in the design. She stated that the project should proceed to Certificate of Appropriateness and does not require an additional concept review.

### **VIII. ADJOURNMENT**

The Board of Architectural Review hearing was adjourned at 12:55 a.m.

### **IX. ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS**

The following projects were administratively approved since the last BAR meeting:

BAR #2019-00503 OHAD

Request for shutter replacement at 513 South Lee Street.

Applicants: Harry Mahon & Ann Murray

BAR #2020-00008 OHAD

Request for alterations at 213 South Alfred Street.

Applicant: Old Town Manor, LLC

BAR #2020-00279 OHAD  
Request for alterations at 325 Duke Street.  
Applicant: Mija Romer

BAR #2020-00313 PG  
Request for window replacement 233 North West Street.  
Applicant: Jennifer Mabry

BAR #2020-00315 OHAD  
Request for window replacement at 727 South Lee Street.  
Applicant: Juliana Nicoletti

BAR #2020-00316 PG  
Request for fence replacement at 631 North Alfred Street.  
Applicant: Chang Ki Hong

BAR #2020-00319 OHAD  
Request for roof replacement at 604 Ford's Landing Way.  
Applicant: Donna & Alfonzo Lopez

BAR #2020-00324 OHAD  
Request for window replacement at 232 North Saint Asaph Street.  
Applicant: Kara Hourihan

BAR #2020-00325 OHAD  
Request for roof replacement at 118 South Lee Street.  
Applicants: Wilfred Heam, Jr. & Grace Hinchman

BAR #2020-00327 OHAD  
Request for fence replacement at 125 Wolfe Street.  
Applicant: Valentine Kass

BAR #2020-00329 OHAD  
Request for chimney replacement at 633 South Saint Asaph Street.  
Applicant: Elizabeth Decteur

BAR #2020-00330 OHAD  
Request for roof replacement at 1 Franklin Street.  
Applicant: Bill Harter

BAR #2020-00332 OHAD  
Request for roof replacement at 819 South Royal Street.  
Applicant: Colleen Krieger

BAR #2020-00334 PG  
Request for fence replacement at 418 North Fayette Street.  
Applicant: Lori Gershaw

BAR #2020-00335 OHAD

Request for roof replacement at 702 Ford's Landing Way.

Applicants: Gerald J. & Carol J. Stalun

BAR #2020-00338 OHAD

Request for roof replacement at 724 South Royal Street.

Applicant: Daniel A. Weggeland

BAR #2020-00339 OHAD

Request for signage at 917 King Street.

Applicant: FMG Holdings

BAR #2020-00343 OHAD

Request for window replacement at 911 Bashford Lane.

Applicants: Maggie & Chris Mesaros

BAR #2020-00344 OHAD

Request for signage at 101 South Saint Asaph Street.

Applicant: Megan Podolsky

BAR #2020-00347 OHAD

Request for door replacement at 1250 South Washington Street #516

Applicant: Andre L'Heureaux

BAR #2020-00348 OHAD

Request for window replacement at 900 Franklin Street

Applicant: Dallas McVicker

BAR #2020-00349 OHAD

Request for door replacement at 1250 South Washington Street #223

Applicant: Paul Currer

BAR #2020-00350 OHAD

Request for door replacement at 834 North Washington Street

Applicant: North Washington Street Properties, LLC

BAR #2020-00351 OHAD

Request for window replacement at 319 South Union Street.

Applicant: Everett Smith

BAR #2020-00352 OHAD

Request for window replacement at 800 South Saint Asaph Street #311

Applicant: Thomas West

BAR #2020-00353 OHAD

Request for relocating condensing unit 121 South Henry Street

Applicant: Brendan Owens

BAR #2020-00354 PG  
Request for door replacement at 322 North Patrick Street.  
Applicant: Ricardo Navarro

BAR #2020-00355 PG  
Request for railing replacement at 715 Princess Street.  
Applicant: Staff Restaurants, LLC

BAR #2020-00356 OHAD  
Request for sign replacement at 315 South Washington Street.  
Applicant: Gabriella Brown

BAR #2020-00357 OHAD  
Request for signage at 210 King Street.  
Applicant: 210 King Street, LLC

BAR #2020-00358 OHAD  
Request for roof replacement at 421 South Pitt Street.  
Applicant: Emily McMahon

BAR #2020-00359 OHAD  
Request for repointing at 310 South Saint Asaph Street.  
Applicant: Cheryl Jaeger

BAR #2020-00360 OHAD  
Request for shutter replacement at 207 South Lee Street.  
Applicants: Clete Johnson & Sheila Kennett

BAR #2020-00362 OHAD  
Request for replace equipment at 1101 King Street.  
Applicant: Leigh Dukatt

BAR #2020-00369 OHAD  
Request for window replacement at 715 Ford's Landing Way.  
Applicant: Denise Joseph

BAR #2020-00377 OHAD  
Request for alterations at 109 South Lee Street.  
Applicant: Cabell Hickman

BAR #2020-00382 OHAD  
Request for roof replacement at 700 Ford's Landing Way.  
Applicant: Kiely Bruce

BAR #2020-00384 OHAD  
Request for lighting replacement at 110 South Union Street.  
Applicant: South Union Street Holdings, LLC

BAR #2020-00388 OHAD  
Request for shed at 818 Franklin Street.  
Applicant: ALCE Investments, LLC

BAR #2020-00389 OHAD  
Request for garage door replacement at 100 Quay Street.  
Applicant: Magaly Galdo-Hirst & Thompson M. Hirst

BAR #2020-00390 PG  
Request for shutters at 320 North Patrick Street.  
Applicant: Jay Roach

BAR #2020-00391 OHAD  
Request for window replacement at 831 South Lee Street.  
Applicant: Helen Mannen

BAR #2020-00392 PG  
Request for window replacement at 716 North Columbus Street.  
Applicant: Genevieve Morelli

BAR #2020-00397 OHAD  
Request for repointing at 213 Ramsay Alley.  
Applicant: Talmage Day

BAR #2020-00399 OHAD  
Request for door replacement at 829 Queen Street.  
Applicant: Kyong Yi

BAR #2020-00406 PG  
Request for fence replacement at 1306 Princess Street.  
Applicant: Michael Turletes

BAR #2020-00416 OHAD  
Request for alterations at 413 Prince Street.  
Applicant: Ashley Wilson

**X. ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1: John Sprinkle statement