| Application | General Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Public hearing and consideration of <br> a request for a special use permit, <br> with modifications, to construct a <br> single-family dwelling on <br> developed, substandard lot. | Planning Commission <br> Hearing: | City Council <br> Hearing: |
| Address: <br> 4817 Peacock Avenue | July 6, 2021 |  |
| Applicant: <br> Jason R. and Katherine R. Miller, <br> represented by Duncan W. Blair, <br> attorney | Small Area Plan: | Seminary Hill/Strawberry Hill |

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL subject to compliance with all applicable codes, ordinances and the recommended permit conditions found in Section III of this report.

Staff Reviewers: Sam Shelby, sam.shelby@alexandriava.gov
Ann Horowitz, ann.horowitz@alexandriava.gov

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION, JUNE 24, 2021: On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Koenig, the Planning Commission voted to recommend approval of SUP \#2021-00040, subject to compliance with all applicable codes, ordinances and staff recommendations. The motion carried on a vote of 7 to 0 .

Reason: The Planning Commission agreed with the staff analysis.
Discussion: Commissioner Brown praised the applicant's outreach efforts to property owners adjacent to the subject property. He stated that thorough outreach with the neighborhood is an essential element to SUP requests for redevelopment of substandard lots.


PROJECT LOCATION MAP

## I. DISCUSSION

The applicants, Jason R. and Katherine R. Miller, represented by Duncan W. Blair, attorney, request Special Use Permit (SUP) approval, with modifications, to construct a single-family dwelling on a developed, substandard lot at 4817 Peacock Avenue. The existing lot is substandard as it does not meet the R-20 zone's minimum lot frontage requirement.

## SITE DESCRIPTION

The Zoning Ordinance classifies the subject property as a developed, substandard, lot of record. The address is 4817 Peacock Avenue. It has 51.61 feet of frontage along Peacock Avenue and has a lot size of 52,829 square feet. The subject property has unique topography that generally slopes downward from its south to north side. Single-family dwellings surround the subject property. A one-story contemporary style single-family dwelling occupies the subject property. The dwelling has a footprint of approximately 2,389 square feet.


Figure 1 -Subject Property

## BACKGROUND

City records indicate the existing dwelling remains largely unchanged since it was constructed in 1978.

## PROPOSAL

The applicants request SUP approval, with modifications, to construct a two-story dwelling. It would have 4,925 square feet of net floor area, 10,920 square feet of gross floor area and would measure 28.00 in height from average pre-construction grade to the midpoint of the dwelling's highest gable roof.

The dwelling would measure approximately 53 by 60 feet with a footprint of about 2,880 square feet. It would provide a front yard of 189.9 feet; north and south side yards of 21.7 and 29.2 feet, respectively, and a rear yard of 229 feet.

The applicants' proposed design would feature architectural features typically found in Tudor Revival style dwellings. Figures two through five, below, show the proposed elevations. The dwelling would have an attached two-car garage.


Figure 2 - Proposed Front Elevation


Figure 3-Proposed North Side Elevation


Figure 4 - Proposed South Side Elevation


Figure 5 - Proposed Rear Elevation
The applicants' proposal also includes two SUP modification requests. First, the applicants request a modification from the requirement that attached garages be setback a minimum of eight feet behind the front building wall. The front of the proposed garage would be setback two feet behind the dwelling's front building wall.

The applicants also request a modification from the R-20 zone front yard setback requirement. The applicants propose a 189.8 -foot front yard, which, while consistent with the location of the existing dwelling, would exceed the 102.8 -foot front setback requirement.

Of the 261 trees and shrubs that exist on the lot, the applicant indicates that 221 would be preserved. These plantings are located in the subject property's front and rear of the lot, as shown in figure seven. Figures six and seven, below, shows the proposed site plan and the tree preservation plan.


Figure 6 - Proposed Site Plan


Figure 7 - Proposed tree preservation plan

## PARKING

Zoning Ordinance section 8-200(A)(1) requires two off-street parking spaces for a single-family dwelling. The proposed garage and driveway would meet this requirement.

## ZONING

The subject property is zoned R-2-0/Residential Single-Family. The R-20 zone requires a minimum lot frontage of 55 feet. The subject property provides 51.61 feet of lot frontage. Zoning Ordinance section 12-901 classifies the subject property as a substandard lot because it does not provide the R-20 zone's minimum lot frontage requirement. The subject property meets all other R-20 lot requirements, exceeding both the minimum lot size of 20,000 square feet by 32,829 square feet and minimum lot width of 100 feet by 21.2 feet.

Because the subject property is substandard and is developed with a dwelling, Zoning Ordinance sections 12-900 and 12-901 apply. These sections set requirements for the expansion, replacement or redevelopment of a developed substandard lot. Because the applicant proposes redevelopment, Zoning Ordinance section 12-901(C) applies and requires SUP approval. This section states that "City Council, upon consideration of the special use permit, finds that the proposed development will be compatible with the existing neighborhood character in terms of bulk, height and design." The Infill Task Force created this section in 2008. Task Force members were concerned about demolition and reconstruction on substandard lots. They felt that the Zoning Ordinance did not sufficiently protect established neighborhood character from incompatible new dwellings. Staff experience with substandard lot SUPs shows that the additional public input on these requests is valuable.

The applicants have requested modifications from Zoning Ordinance sections: 3-106(A)(1), the R20 zone's front setback requirement and section 7-2502(c) the attached garage setback requirement. In reviewing these modification requests, City Council must determine that, pursuant to Zoning Ordinance section 11-416, "..such modification is necessary or desirable to good site development, that specific and identified features of the site design make up for those impacts otherwise protected by the regulations for which modification is sought and that such modification will not be detrimental to neighboring property or to the public health, safety and welfare."

The applicants' proposal would meet all other zoning requirements. The following table provides a summary of all zoning regulations as they pertain to the subject property and proposed dwelling:

Table 1 - Zoning Analysis

|  | Required/Permitted | Provided /Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Area | 20,000 Sq. Ft. | 52,829 Sq. Ft. |
| Lot Width | 100 Ft . | 121.2 Ft . |
| Lot Frontage | 55 Ft . | 51.61 Ft . |
| Front Yard* | $\begin{gathered} 37.9 \text { (minimum) } \\ 102.8 \text { (maximum) } \end{gathered}$ | 189.8 Ft . |
| Side Yard (North) | $15.8 \mathrm{Ft} .$ <br> ( $1: 2$ height to setback ratio, 12 Ft . min.) | 21.7 Ft . |
| Side Yard (South) | $12 \mathrm{Ft} .$ <br> (1:2 height to setback ratio, $12 \mathrm{Ft} . \mathrm{min}$.) | 29.2 Ft. |
| Rear Yard | $27.5 \mathrm{Ft} .$ <br> (1:1 height to setback ratio, 12 Ft min.) | 229.0 Ft . |
| Net Floor Area | $\begin{gathered} 13,207 \text { Sq. Ft. } \\ 0.25 \text { Floor Area Ratio (FAR) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,925 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft} . \\ & \text { 0.09 FAR } \end{aligned}$ |
| Maximum Height | 30 Ft . | 28.0 Ft . |
| Maximum <br> Threshold Height | 2.5 Ft . | 2.5 Ft . |
| Attached Garage Setback* | 8.0 Ft. behind front building wall | 2.0 Ft. behind front building wall |

*modification requested through SUP

## MASTER PLAN DESIGNATION

The proposed single-family residential use is consistent with the Seminary Hill/Strawberry Hill Small Area Plan which designates this area for low-density residential development.

## II. STAFF ANALYSIS

Staff supports the applicants’ proposal to redevelop this substandard lot with a new two-story dwelling and for modifications to the front yard and attached garage requirements. As required
by Zoning Ordinance section 12-901(C), the proposed dwelling would be compatible with the existing neighborhood in terms of height, bulk and design.

## Height

Staff found the proposed height to be compatible with neighborhood character in terms of height. The heights of dwellings on neighboring properties are shown in Table two, below.

Table $2-$ Dwelling heights

| 4823 Peacock Avenue | 16.8 Ft. |
| :--- | :---: |
| 4816 Peacock Avenue | 16.9 Ft. |
| 4825 Peacock Avenue | 16.9 Ft. |
| 4827 Peacock Avenue | 19.1 Ft. |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0} \mathbf{~ F t}$ |
| 4815 Peacock Avenue | 27.5 Ft. |
| Proposed Dwelling | $\mathbf{2 8 . 0} \mathbf{~ F t}$. |

Despite the height difference between the proposed dwelling and the adjacent dwelling at 4823 Peacock Avenue, staff finds that the height of the proposed dwelling would be compatible overall with the existing neighborhood due to the surrounding dwelling heights and to the unique site characteristics. The dwellings surrounding the subject property around the cul-de-sac are a mix of single and two-story dwellings. Given the proposed location of the dwelling 189.8 feet from the front lot line, staff finds that the proposed height would have no effect on height compatibility with its neighbors. Further, the applicants intend to preserve a number of trees and shrubs in the front yard that would effectively obscure the dwelling from the street and the adjacent dwellings, just as the existing dwelling was not visible from the street. Staff has included a photo, figure 8 , which shows the front of the property as viewed from the cul-de-sac. The applicants intend to maintain much of the landscaping shown in this figure.


Figure 8 - Front of subject property

## Bulk

The applicants' proposal would be compatible with neighborhood character in terms of bulk. Although the proposed dwelling would be larger than the surrounding dwellings around the cul-de-sac, much of its mass would not be visible from the street for the reasons as stated above. Further, the dwelling provides side and rear yard setbacks well in excess of the minimum required by the R-20 zone. These larger yards allow the dwelling to be located far enough away from its neighbors that the bulk would not be impactful. With 4,925 square feet of net floor area, the proposed dwelling would be 8,282 square feet below the maximum floor area permitted by the R20 zone.

## Design

Staff found the proposed design would not impact compatibility with the surrounding dwellings. The large front yard proposed nearly matches the front yard provided by the existing dwelling. As stated previously, the proposed dwelling would likely not be visible from the street. As such, the existing design character of the cul-de-sac would not be affected.

## Modifications

Staff found that the applicants' proposed modifications would be desirable for good site development and that there would be no impact nor detriment to neighboring property. Further analysis follows.

The requested front yard modification would be compatible with the existing neighborhood development pattern as it would allow for the new dwelling in roughly the same location as the existing dwelling.

The requested front yard modification would also be desirable to keep the dwelling as from the street as possible, eliminating any potential impact to neighborhood height, bulk or design compatibility. Further, because the proposed modification would allow for the new dwelling to provide nearly the same front yard that the existing dwelling does, it would minimize the amount of land disturbance and maximize the number of trees to be preserved.

The requested modification from the attached garage setback would also allow for diminished site disturbance. Setting the garage back eight feet would increase the limits of disturbance because the dwelling's footprint would have to be expanded to accommodate the garage setback. The additional setback would also be occupied by additional driveway space that would not be needed under the applicant's current proposal. Staff finds both elements to be environmentally optimal in minimizing the disturbed area of the site, allowing for the preservation of more existing trees and shrubs and limiting impermeable surfaces. This modification would have no impact on neighboring property, as it would not be perceptible from the street nor adjacent properties.

## Additional Considerations

The applicants received four statements from adjacent and nearby neighbors in support of their proposal.

Regarding tree preservation, staff is pleased to see that the applicants intend to maintain many of the subject property's existing trees. In addition to complying with the City's Landscape Guidelines at the future administrative review of the grading plan, staff recommends Conditions $\# 2$ and \#3 to ensure the existing mature and native trees planned for preservation in figure seven are adequately protected during construction.

## CONCLUSION

Staff found that the proposed dwelling's height, bulk and design would be compatible with the established neighborhood character. Staff further found that the requested modification meets required standards for approval. Subject to the conditions contained in Section III of this report, staff recommends approval of the SUP request.

## III. RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

Staff recommends approval subject to compliance with all applicable codes and ordinances, and the following conditions:

1. The dwelling's bulk, height and design shall be substantially consistent with the application materials submitted May 25, 2021 to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Zoning. (P\&Z)
2. Minimize the limits of disturbance on the grading submission to the greatest extent possible to maximize the tree protection areas. Where the critical root zone of trees outside of the limit of disturbance overlap with proposed construction, tree preservation measures shall be employed to ensure the survival of roots within the critical root zone where possible. (P\&Z)
3. A tree protection plan developed by an arborist shall be submitted with the grading plan to ensure preservation and protection of the following trees: 101, 132, 133 and 249. (P\&Z)
4. Any new driveway areas shall be constructed with a permeable paver system to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Zoning. (P\&Z)
5. No vehicles associated with this project shall be permitted to idle for more than 10 minutes when parked (T\&ES)
6. Control odors, dusting and any other air pollution sources resulting from the demolition/construction activities at the site and prevent them from leaving the property or becoming a nuisance to neighboring properties, as determined by the Director of Transportation and Environmental Services. (T\&ES).
7. Applicant shall be responsible for repairs to the adjacent City right-of-way if damaged during construction activity. (T\&ES)
8. No permanent structure may be constructed over any existing private and/or public utility easements. It is the responsibility of the applicant to identify any and all existing easements on the plan. (T\&ES)

STAFF: Sam Shelby, Urban Planner, Department of Planning and Zoning Ann Horowitz, Principal Planner, Department of Planning and Zoning Tony LaColla, AICP, Division Chief, Department of Planning and Zoning

Staff Note: In accordance with section 11-506(c) of the Zoning Ordinance, construction or operation shall be commenced and diligently and substantially pursued within 36 months of the date of granting of a special use permit by City Council or the special use permit shall become void.

## IV. CITY DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

Legend: C - code requirement R - recommendation S - suggestion F - finding
Transportation \& Environmental Services:
R-1 No vehicles associated with this project shall be permitted to idle for more than 10 minutes when parked. (T\&ES)

R-2 Control odors, dusting and any other air pollution sources resulting from the demolition/construction activities at the site and prevent them from leaving the property or becoming a nuisance to neighboring properties, as determined by the Director of Transportation and Environmental Services. (T\&ES)

R-3 Applicant shall be responsible for repairs to the adjacent City right-of-way if damaged during construction activity. (T\&ES)

R-4 No permanent structure may be constructed over any existing private and/or public utility easements. It is the responsibility of the applicant to identify any and all existing easements on the plan. (T\&ES)

C-1 A GRADING PLAN showing all improvements and alterations to the site shall be required prior to any land disturbing activities and must be approved prior to issuance of a building permit. (Sec. 5-6-224) (T\&ES)

C-2 New curb cuts require separate approval from the City. (Sec. 5-2-14) (T\&ES)
C-3 An erosion and sediment control plan must be approved by T\&ES prior to any land disturbing activity greater than 2,500 square feet subject to the exceptions described in Section 5-4-5. An erosion and sediment control bond shall be posted prior to release of the grading plan. (Sec.5-4-1.1) (T\&ES)

C-4 The applicant shall comply with the provisions of Article XIII Environmental Management Ordinance of the City of Alexandria. (T\&ES)

C-5 All improvements to the City right-of-way such as curbing, sidewalk, driveway aprons, etc. must be City standard design. (Sec.5-2-1) (T\&ES)

C-6 Flow from downspouts, foundation drains, and sump pumps shall be discharged to the storm sewer per the requirements of Memorandum to Industry 05-14 that is available on the City of Alexandria's web site. The downspouts and sump pump discharges shall be piped to the storm sewer outfall, where applicable per the requirements of Article XIII of Alexandria Zoning Ordinance (AZO). Where storm sewer is not available applicant must provide a design to mitigate impact of stormwater drainage onto adjacent properties and to the satisfaction of the Director of Transportation \& Environmental Services. (Sec.5-6-224)

C-7 All secondary utilities serving this site shall be placed underground. (Sec. 5-3-3) (T\&ES)

C-8 Pay sanitary sewer connection fee prior to release of Grading Plan. (Sec. 5-6-25) (T\&ES)
C-9 Any work within the right-of-way requires a separate permit from T\&ES. (Sec. 5-361) (T\&ES)

C-10 The applicant shall comply with the City of Alexandria's Noise Control Code, Title 11, Chapter 5, which sets the maximum permissible noise level as measured at the property line. (T\&ES)

Code Enforcement:
C-1 A building permit and plan review are required prior to the start of construction.
Recreation, Parks and Cultural Activities:
No comments.

Police Department:
No comments received.
Fire Department:
No comments.

APPLICATION
SPECIAL USE PERMIT

SPECIAL USE PERMIT \#

## PROPERTY LOCATION:

4817 Peacock Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia
39.010213

R-20
TAX MAP REFERENCE:
Jason R. Miller and Katherine R. Miller
Name:
Jason R. Miller and Katherine R. Miller

Address:
4817 Peacock Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia
Special Use Permit to construct a new single family dwelling on a developed PROPOSED USE: $\qquad$
substandard lot pursuant to Section 12-901(c) of the Alexandria Zoning Ordinance and a request for a modification of the required front yard setback required by Section 3-106 (A)(1) of the AZO.

THE UNDERSIGNED, hereby applies for a Special Use Permit in accordance with the provisions of Article XI, Section 4-11-500 of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

THE UNDERSIGNED, having obtained permission from the property owner, hereby grants permission to the City of Alexandria staff and Commission Members to visit, inspect, and photograph the building premises, land etc., connected with the application.

THE UNDERSIGNED, having obtained permission from the property owner, hereby grants permission to the City of Alexandria to post placard notice on the property for which this application is requested, pursuant to Article IV, Section 4-1404(D)(7) of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

THE UNDERSIGNED, hereby attests that all of the information herein provided and specifically including all surveys, drawings, etc., required to be furnished by the applicant are true, correct and accurate to the best of their knowledge and belief. The applicant is hereby notified that any written materials, drawings or illustrations submitted in support of this application and any specific oral representations made to the Director of Planning and Zoning on this application will be binding on the applicant unless those materials or representations are clearly stated to be non-binding or illustrative of general plans and intentions, subject to substantial revision, pursuant to Article XI, Section 11-207(A)(10), of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

Duncan W. Blair, Attorney

| Print Name of Applicant or Agent |
| :--- |
| 524 King Street |
| Mailing/Street Address |
| Alexandria, Virginia 22314 |
| City and State $\quad$ Zip Code |

## PROPERTY OWNER'S AUTHORIZATION

As the property owner of
4817 Peacock Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia
(Property Address)
grant the applicant authorization to apply for the Section 12-9091(c) Special Use permit to construct a single family dwelling. use as
(use)
described in this application.
Jason R. Miller and Katherine R. Miller

Name:
Please Print
Address: 4817 Peacock Ave, Alexandria, VA

Signature:
By: Duncan W. Blair, Attorney

Phone $\qquad$

Email: $\qquad$
4/19/21
Date: $\qquad$

1. Fioor Plan and Plot Plan. As a part of this application, the applicant is required to submit a floor plan and plot or site plan with the parking layout of the proposed use. The SUP application checklist lists the requirements of the floor and site plans. The Planning Director may waive requirements for plan submission upon receipt of a written request which adequately justifies a waiver.
[ 1$]$ Required floor plan and plot/site plan attached.
[ ] Requesting a waiver. See attached written request.
2. The applicant is the (check one):
[ - ] Owner
[ ] Contract Purchaser
[ ] Lessee or
[ ] Other: $\qquad$ of the subject property.

State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an interest in the applicant or owner, unless the entity is a corporation or partnership, in which case identify each owner of more than three percent.

Jason R. Miller and Katherine R. Miller own $100 \%$ of the property as Tenants by the Entirety.

# OWNERSHIP AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT <br> Use additional sheets if necessary 

1. Applicant. State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an interest in the applicant, unless the entity is a corporation or partnership, in which case identify each owner of more than three percent. The term ownership interest shall include any legal or equitable interest held at the time of the application in the real property which is the subject of the application.

| Name | Address | Percent of Ownership |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1. Jason R. Miller <br> Katherine R. Miller | 4817 Peacock Ave. Alex. Va. | $100 \%$ |
| 2. |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |

2. Property. State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an interest in the property located at 4817 Peacock Ave. Alex. Ya.
(address), unless the entity is a corporation or partnership, in which case identify each owner of more than three percent. The term ownership interest shall include any legal or equitable interest held at the time of the application in the real property which is the subject of the application.

| Name | Address | Percent of Ownership |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Jason R. Miller <br> 2. Kathurinu:R.Mitler | 4817 Peacock Ave. Alex. Va. | $100 \%$ |
| 3. |  |  |

3. Business or Financial Relationships. Each person or entity indicated above in sections 1 and 2, with an ownership interest in the applicant or in the subject property are require to disclose any business or financial relationship, as defined by Section 11-350 of the Zoning Ordinance, existing at the time of this application, or within the12-month period prior to the submission of this application with any member of the Alexandria City Council, Planning Commission, Board of Zoning Appeals or either Boards of Architectural Review. All fields must be filled out completely. Do not leave blank. (If there are no relationships please indicated each person or entity and "None" in the corresponding fields).

For a list of current council, commission and board members, as well as the definition of business and financial relationship, click here.

| Name of person or entity |  | Relationship as defined by <br> Section $11-350$ of the Zoning <br> Ordinance | Member of the Approving <br> Body (l.e. City Council, <br> Planning Commission, etc.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Jason R. Miller <br> Katherine R. Miller | None |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |

NOTE: Business or financial relationships of the type described in Sec. $11-350$ that arise after the filling of this application and before each public hearing must be disclosed prior to the public hearings.

As the applicant or the applicant's authorized agent, I hereby attestop the best of my ability that the information provided above is true and correct.
$4 / 19 / 21$


Signature

If property owner or applicant is being represented by an authorized agent such as an attorney, realtor, or other person for which there is some form of compensation, does this agent or the business in which the agent is employed have a business license to operate in the City of Alexandria, Virginia?
[Mes. Provide proof of current City business license
[ ] No. The agent shall obtain a business license prior to filing application, if required by the City Code.

## NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

3. The applicant shall describe below the nature of the request in detail so that the Planning Commission and City Council can understand the nature of the operation and the use. The description should fully discuss the nature of the activity. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

Jason and Katherine Miller are requesting a Special Use Permit to construct a new
single family dwelling on the property pursuant to section 12-901 (c) of the Alexandria
Zoning Ordinance. The property is a developed substandard lot. The existing single
family dwelling will be demolished. The proposed new single family dwelling will be
consistent with the character of the existing neighborhood.

The applicants are requesting a modification of the strict application of the R-20 zones
Front yard requirements of Section 3-106(A)(1) of the AZO to allow the proposed house to
further back from the front propery line than the setback established between the range of
of front yards on the contextual block face. The proposed structure will be constructed with the same setback of the existing house which allow less excavation, grading and land disturbance namimizes the number of trees that can be preserved and the existing character of the streetscape.

## USE CHARACTERISTICS

4. The proposed special use permit request is for (check one):

M a new use requiring a special use permit,
[] an expansion or change to an existing use without a special use permit, [] an expansion or change to an existing use with a special use permit,
[] other. Please describe: $\qquad$
5. Please describe the capacity of the proposed use:
A. How many patrons, clients, pupils and other such users do you expect?

Specify time period (i.e., day, hour, or shift).
Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.
B. How many employees, staff and other personnel do you expect?

Specify time period (i.e., day, hour, or shift).
Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.
6. Please describe the proposed hours and days of operation of the proposed use:

Day: Not Applicable - Single Family DwellingHours:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
7. Please describe any potential noise emanating from the proposed use.
A. Describe the noise levels anticipated from all mechanical equipment and patrons.

Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.
B. How will the noise be controlled?

Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.
$\qquad$
8. Describe any potential odors emanating from the proposed use and plans to control them: Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
9. Please provide information regarding trash and litter generated by the use.
A. What fype of trash and garbage will he genergted by the use? (i.e. office paper, food wrappers)
B. How much trash and garbage will be generated by the use? (i.e. \# of bags or pounds per day or per ${ }^{\text {week }}$ Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.
C. How often will trash be collected?

Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.
$\qquad$
D. How will you prevent littering on the property, streets and nearby properties?

Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.
10. Will any hazardous materials, as defined by the state or federal government, be handled, stored, or generated on the property?
[r] Yes. [] No.
If yes, provide the name, monthly quantity, and specific disposal method below: Small quanties of such materials generally recognized as appropriate for residential use. Such materials will be stored, used and disposed of in accordance with all applicable regulations.
11. Will any organic compounds, for example paint, ink, lacquer thinner, or cleaning or degreasing solvent, be handled, stored, or generated on the property?

NYes. [] No.
If yes, provide the name, monthly quantity, and specific disposal method below:
Small quanties of such materials generally recognized as appropriate for
residential use. Such materials will be stored, used and disposed of in accordance
with all applieable regulations.
$\qquad$
12. What methods are proposed to ensure the safety of nearby residents, employees and patrons?

Not Applicable - Single Family Dwelling.

## ALCOHOL SALES

13. 

A. Will the proposed use include the sale of beer, wine, or mixed drinks?
$[\mathrm{r}$ Yes $[\mathrm{H}$ No

If yes, describe existing (if applicable) and proposed alcohol sales below, including if the ABC license will include on-premises and/or off-premises sales.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## PARKING AND ACCESS REQUIREMENTS

14. A. How many parking spaces of each type are provided for the proposed use:

| 2 | Standard spaces <br> $\square$ <br>  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Compact spaces <br> Handicapped accessible spaces. <br> Other. |  |


| $\quad$ Planning and Zoning Staff Only |
| :--- |
| Required number of spaces. for use per Zoning Ordinânce Section 8-200A |
| Does the application meet the requirement? |
| [] Yes []No |

B. Where is required parking located? (check one) [ 3 / on-site
[ ] off-site
If the required parking will be located off-site, where will it be located?

PLEASE NOTE: Pursuant to Section 8-200 (C) of the Zoning Ordinance, commercial and industrial uses may provide offsite parking within 500 feet of the proposed use, provided that the off-site parking is located on land zoned for commercial or industrial uses. All other uses must provide parking on-site, except that off-street parking may be provided within 300 feet of the use with a special use permit,
C. If a reduction in the required parking is requested, pursuant to Section 8-100 (A) (4) or (5) of the Zoning Ordinance, complete the PARKING REDUCTION SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION.
[ ] Parking reduction requested; see attached supplemental form
15. Please provide information regarding loading and unloading facilities for the use: Not Applicable
A. How many loading spaces are available for the use? $\qquad$

Planning and Zoning Staff Only
Required number of loading spaces for use per Zoning Ordinance Section 8-200 $\qquad$
Does the application meet the requirement?
[]Yes []No
B. Where are off-street loading facilities located? $\qquad$
C. During what hours of the day do you expect loading/unloading operations to occur?
$\qquad$
D. How frequently are loading/unloading operations expected to occur, per day or per week, as appropriate?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
16. Is street access to the subject property adequate or are any street improvements, such as a new turning lane, necessary to minimize impacts on traffic flow? Not Applicable.

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

17. Will the proposed uses be located in an existing building?

Do you propose to construct an addition to the building?
[] Yes [r] No

How large will the addition be? $\qquad$ square feet.
18. What will the total area occupied by the proposed use be?
$\qquad$ sq. f. (existing) + $\qquad$ sq. ft. (addition. if any) = $\qquad$ sq. ft. (total)
19. The proposed use is located in: (check one)
[ ] a stand alone building
$[J]$ a house located in a residential zone
[] a warehouse
[ ] a shopping center. Please provide name of the center: $\qquad$
[ ] an office building. Please provide name of the building: $\qquad$
[ ] other. Please describe: $\qquad$

## End of Application

## A. Property Information

A1. 4817 Peacock Ave
Street Address
A2. $52,718.00$
Total Lot Area
B. Existing Gross Floor Area
Existing Gross Area

| Basement | $1,744.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Floor | $3,250.00$ |
| Second Floor | 0.00 |
| Third Floor | 0.00 |
| Attic | 0.00 |
| Porches | 0.00 |
| Balcony/Deck | 576.00 |
| Garage | 520.00 |
| Other*** | 0.00 |
| B1.Total Gross | $6,090.00$ |

C. Proposed Gross Floor Area Proposed Gross Area
Basement

## First Floor

Second Floor
Third Floor
Attic

## Porches

Balcony/Deck
Garage
Other***
C1. Total Gross

## D. Total Floor Area

D1. 4,958.00 Sq. Ft.
Total Floor Area (add B3 and C3)
D2. 13,179.50 Sq. Ft.
Total Floor Area Allowed by Zone (A2)

X
0.25
Floor Area Ratio Allowed by Zone

Allowable Exclusions**

| Basement** |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stairways** | 36.00 |
| Mechanical** |  |
| Attic less than $7^{\prime * *}$ |  |
| Porches** |  |
| Balcony/Deck** | 576.00 |
| Garage** | 520.00 |
| Other*** |  |
| Other*** |  |
| Total Exclusions | 1,132.00 |

B1. $\underbrace{6,090.00}_{\text {Existing Gross Floor Area* }}$ Sq. Ft.
B2. $1,132.00$ Sq. Ft.
Allowable Floor Exclusions**
B3. 4,958.00 Sq. Ft.
Existing Floor Area Minus Exclusions (subtract B2 from B1)

Comments for Existing Gross Floor Area

## Allowable Exclusions**

| Basement** |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stairways** |  |
| Mechanical** |  |
| Attic less than $7^{\prime * *}$ |  |
| Porches** |  |
| Balcony/Deck** |  |
| Garage** |  |
| Other*** |  |
| Other*** |  |
| C2. Total Exclusions | 0.00 |

R-20
Zone
$=13,179.50$
Maximum Allowable Floor Area

| C1. | 0.00 | Sq. Ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proposed Gross Floor Area* |  |
| C2. | 0.00 | Sq. Ft. |
|  | Allowable Floor Exclusions** |  |
| C3. | 0.00 |  |
|  | Proposed Floor Area Minus (subtract C2 from C1) | sions |

## Notes

*Gross floor area for residential single and two-family dwellings in the $R-20, R-12, R-8$, $R-5, R-2-5, R B$ and RA zones (not including properties located within a Historic District) is the sum of all areas under roof of a lot, measured from exterior walls.
** Refer to the Zoning Ordinance (Section 2-145(A)) and consult with Zoning Staff for information regarding allowable exclusions. Sections may also be required for some exclusions.
*** Refer to the Zoning Ordinance (Section 2-145(A)) and consult with Zoning Staff for additional allowable exclusions. Additional exclusions may include space under balconies, retractable awnings, etc.

The undersigned hereby certifies and attests that, to the best of his/her knowledge, the above computations are true and correct.

## A. Property Information


C. Proposed Gross Floor Area
Proposed Gross Area

| Basement | $2,018.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Floor | $2,018.00$ |
| Second Floor | $2,453.00$ |
| Third Floor | 0.00 |
| Attic | 0.00 |
| Porches | 334.00 |
| Balcony/Deck | 0.00 |
| Garage | 466.00 |
| Other*** | 0.00 |
| Total Gross | $7,289.00$ |


| Allowable Exclusions** |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Basement** | 2,018.00 |
| Stairways** | 96.00 |
| Mechanical** |  |
| Attic less than $7^{* * *}$ |  |
| Porches** | 334.00 |
| Balcony/Deck** |  |
| Garage** | 466.00 |
| Other*** |  |
| Other*** |  |
| 2. Total Exclusions | 2,914.00 |

C1.
$7,289.00$
Proposed Gross Floor Area*
C2. $2,914.00$ Sq. Ft.
C3. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Allowable Floor Exclusions } \\ & 4,375.00 \\ & \text { Sq. Ft. }\end{aligned}$ Proposed Floor Area Minus Exclusions (subtract C2 from C1)

## Notes

*Gross floor area for residential single and two-family dwellings in the $R-20, R-12, R-8$, $R-5, R-2-5, R B$ and RA zones (not including properties located within a Historic District) is the sum of all areas under roof of a lot, measured from exterior walls.
** Refer to the Zoning Ordinance (Section 2-145(A)) and consult with Zoning Staff for information regarding allowable exclusions. Sections may also be required for some exclusions.
*** Refer to the Zoning Ordinance (Section 2-145(A)) and consult with Zoning Staff for additional allowable exclusions. Additional exclusions may include space under balconies, retractable awnings, etc.

The undersigned hereby certifies and attests that, to the best of his/her knowledge, the above computations are true and correct.
$\qquad$
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Mr. Nathan Macek, Chairman and Member of the Planning Commission
Alexandria City Hall
301 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Re: June 24, 2021 - Planning Commission Public Hearing - 4817 Peacock Avenue

Dear Chairman Macek and Members of the Commission,
We have met our new neighbors, Jason and Katherine Miller, and their children. The Miller's have shared their plans for their new home at 4817 Peacock Avenue. We are writing in support of their request for a special use permit related to the proposed construction.

Sincerely,
Name LeO AMO DIANA FICDUERLA
Address 4816 PEACOCK AVE, LLEYANDRN, YA $2730 \%$

From: Don Haddock [donaldo1919@gmail.com](mailto:donaldo1919@gmail.com)
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 2:46 PM
To: Duncan Blair [dblair@landcarroll.com](mailto:dblair@landcarroll.com)
Cc: Jason Miller [jr.miller2311@gmail.com](mailto:jr.miller2311@gmail.com)
Subject: 4817 Peacock Ave

Hi Duncan -

I live two doors down from your clients, the Millers. I've looked at their proposal. I'm not sure how many approvals are needed on this one, but I can say for sure that the house belongs far off the street and the lot can accommodate a huge building. If they were required to bring the building toward the street, then that would interfere with the neighbors. The original house was built where it is for a good reason.

Attached is a letter to the Planning Commission. Count on me for anything else I can do to help in my capacity as a neighbor. If you'd like an original hard copy of the le er, I can easily walk it over to you. My cellphone is (703) 371-2922 in case you need to reach me for any reason.

Don Haddock

Sent from my communicator


Donald M. Haddock Jr. 4811 Peacock Avenue Alexandria, VA 22304

May 27, 2021

Mr. Nathan Macek, Chairman and Member of the Planning Commission Alexandria City Hall
301 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Re: June 24, 2021 - Planning Commission Public Hearing - 4817 Peacock Avenue
Dear Chairman Mace and Members of the Commission,
I write in support of the special use permit application of Jason and Katherine Miller and their girls for 4817 Peacock Avenue. The new home proposed by the Millers is in keeping with our neighborhood and will not in any way diminish our wonderful street. Peacock Avenue is a special place, and the Millers' proposed house will fit right in. We look forward to them enjoying the property for years to come.

We have lived two doors from the subject property since 2002. In 2007 we competed a major addition/renovation to our property and the Peacock neighbors were very supportive of us at the time. Jason and Katherine have been very considerate in this process and I am confident that the Planning Commission will be making the right decision in granting the special use permit.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Very truly yours,


Donald M. Haddock Jr.

Mr. Nathan Macek, Chairman and Member of the Planning Commission
Alexandria City Hall
301 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Re: June 24, 2021 - Planning Commission Public Hearing - 4817 Peacock Avenue

Dear Chairman Macek and Members of the Commission,

We have met our new neighbors, Jason and Katherine Miller, and their children. The Millers have shared their plans for their new home at 4817 Peacock Avenue. We are writing in support of their request for a special use permit related to the proposed construction.

Sincerely,


David and Mikiko Kavanaugh
4815 Peacock Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22304

# Vertume \& Betina Dufault <br> 1103 Finley Lane <br> Alexandria, VA 22304 <br> Betina.Dufault@gmail.com 

June 7, 2021

Mr. Nathan Macek, Chairman and Member of the Planning Commission
Alexandria City Hall
301 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Re: June 24, 2021 - Planning Commission Public Hearing - 4817 Peacock Avenue
Dear Chairman Macek and Members of the Commission,

We have met our new neighbors, Jason and Katherine Miller, and their children. Mrs. Miller is a beloved teacher at St. Stephen's \& St. Agnes, where our daughter is a rising $9^{\text {th }}$ grader. The Millers have shared their plans for their new home at 4817 Peacock Avenue. We are writing in support of their request for a special use permit related to the proposed construction.

Sincerely,
Vectume \& Betina Dufailt

FW: Peacock
-----Original Message-----
From: Duncan Blair [dblair@landcarroll.com](mailto:dblair@landcarroll.com)
Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2021 11:33 AM
To: Sam Shelby [sam.shelby@alexandriava.gov](mailto:sam.shelby@alexandriava.gov)
Subject: Re: Peacock
Sam. Please distribute.

Sent from my iPhone
> On Jun 24, 2021, at 11:04 AM, Greg Sutton [gsutton2@cox.net](mailto:gsutton2@cox.net) wrote:
>
$>$ Mr. Blair,
$>$
> The owner of Picketts Ridge 503 LLC supports the proposed approval of the Peacock SUP as outline in the staff report and recommendation by staff for Approval.

```
>
```

> Thank you,
> Greg Sutton
> Manager, Pickett's Ridge 503 LLC
>
$>$ Sent from my iPhone
$>$
>> On Jun 24, 2021, at 10:20 AM, Duncan Blair [Dblair@landcarroll.com](mailto:Dblair@landcarroll.com) wrote:
>>
>> Any questions?
>> Sent from my iPad
$>$

