Docket Item \# 3
BZA \#2020-00002
Board of Zoning Appeals
June 8, 2020

## ADDRESS: 107 EAST WINDSOR AVENUE ZONE: RB, TOWNHOUSE ZONE APPLICANT: CHRISTOPHER TUCKER, AGENT FOR JONATHAN RENARD AND KARI McNAIR

ISSUE: Special exceptions to construct a second-story addition over the existing first floor and to increase the height of an existing front porch in the required west side yard.

| CODE <br> SECTION | SUBJECT | CODE REQMT | APPLICANT PROPOSES | REQUESTED <br> EXCEPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-706(A)(3)(a) | Side Yard (Porch) | 8.00 feet | 6.20 feet | 1.80 feet |
| 3-706(A)(3)(a) | Side Yard (Addition) | 8.00 feet | 5.00 feet | 3.00 feet |

Planning and Zoning staff recommends denial of the requested special exceptions because the requests do not meet all the criteria for special exceptions, as the dwelling is a contributing structure in the Town of Potomac and the proposed addition would change the massing and character of the historic bungalow in the historic district.

If the Board grants the requested special exceptions, the applicant must have lots consolidated and comply with all requirements of this report's department comments. The applicant must also submit a survey plat prepared by a licensed surveyor confirming building footprint, setbacks and lot consolidation prior to all final inspections. The special exceptions must be recorded with the property's deed in the City's Land Records Office prior to the release of the building permit.


## BZA \#2020-00002 107 E Windsor Ave

## I. Issue

The applicant proposes to add a second-story front addition in line with the existing side wall in the required west side yard and reconstruct an existing noncomplying porch with increased height due to a higher roof pitch in the required west side yard.

## II. Background

The subject property is one and onehalf lots of records containing 4,314 square feet of lot area, with a lot width and frontage of 37.50 feet facing East Windsor Avenue and a depth of 115.00 feet along the east and west side property line. The subject property is a substandard lot
 in the RB zone with respect to lot width and frontage.

The lot is currently developed with a single-family detached dwelling. The dwelling's front porch is located 9.80 feet from the north front property line; 8.50 feet from the east side property line, 47.00 feet from the south rear property line and 2.60 feet from the west side property line. The one-story front-gable brick-front bungalow with a front porch was constructed in ca. 1930 and is identified as a contributing structure within the Town of Potomac National Register Historic District.

The following table provide zoning analysis of the subject property.

| RB Zone | Required/Permitted | Existing | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Area | 1,980 Sq. Ft. | 4,313 Sq. Ft. | 4,313 Sq. Ft. |
| Lot Width | 50.00 Ft . | 37.50 Ft . | 37.50 Ft . |
| Lot Frontage | 50.00 Ft . | 37.50 Ft . | 37.50 Ft . |
| Front Yard | $9.7-20.00 \mathrm{Ft}$. * | 10.00 Ft . | 10.00 Ft . |
| Side Yard | 8.00 Ft . (minimum) 1:3 height to setback ratio | 8.50 Ft . (east) <br> 2.60 Ft. (west) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.50 \mathrm{Ft} . \text { (east) } \\ & 2.60 \mathrm{Ft} . \text { (west) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Rear Yard | 8.00 Ft. (minimum) <br> 1:1 setback ratio | 22.00 Ft . | 22.00 Ft . |
| Open Space | 800 Sq . Ft. | 1134 Sq. Ft | 1134 Sq. Ft |
| Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | (.75) 3,235.00 | 1,680.50 | 2,403.50 |

* Based on the range of the contextual block face.


## III. Description

The applicant proposes to construct a second-story addition that will be built in line with the existing one-story front portion of the dwelling unit. The rear two-story addition was built in 2007 and was built in compliance with the required side and rear yard setbacks. The new addition will measure 30.13 feet by 24.00 feet for a total area of 723.12 square feet. The second-story addition will measure 28.08 feet in height measured from the midpoint of the gable and 20.29 feet from the eaves facing the west side yard to average existing grade. Based on a height of 20.29 feet from the eaves facing the west side yard to average existing grade the minimum setback of 8.00 feet is required. The applicant requests a special exception of 3.00 feet from the required 8.00 feet to construct the addition 5.00 feet from the west side property line above a majority of the west side building wall.

The applicant is also proposing to reconstruct the front porch and change the roof form from a hipped roof to a taller gable roof. The footprint of the existing porch will remain unchanged. The porch would measure 21.63 feet in length and 7.88 feet in depth for a total of 170.44 square feet. The current roof measures 11.78 feet from average existing grade to the eaves of the hipped roof which requires a minimum 8.00 side yard setback. The new proposed roof would measure 13.79 feet from average existing grade in height when measured to the midpoint of the facing the west side yard and 11.78 feet from the average existing grade to the eaves The applicants request a special exception of 1.80 feet from the required 8.00 feet to construct the front porch 6.20 feet from the west side property line.

The new porch and the second-story addition would have 1.60 feet overhangs which are permitted obstructions in a required yard per section 7-202 (A)(3) of the Zoning Ordinance.

Upon completion of the work, the proposed renovations will continue to comply with the floor area requirements. (Refer to floor area calculations.)

There have been no variances or special exceptions previously granted for the subject property.

## IV. Master Plan/Zoning

The subject property has been zoned RB since adoption of the Third Revised Zoning Map in 1951and is identified in the Potomac West Small Area Plan for residential use.

## V. Requested Special Exception:

3-706(A)(3)(a) Side Yard (west)
The applicants request a special exception of 3.00 feet from the required 8.00 foot side yard setback to construct a second-story addition that will measure 20.29 feet in height when measured from the eaves facing the side yard to average existing grade. The addition will
be located in line with the existing one-story west side wall, 5.00 feet from the west side property line.

The applicants request a second special exception of 1.80 feet from the required 8.00 foot side yard setback to increase the height of the front porch in the required side yard. The roof will measure 11.78 feet in height when measured from the eaves facing the side yard to average existing grade. The porch would continue to be located 6.20 feet from the west side property line.

## VI. Noncomplying Structure/ Substandard Lot

The existing lot is substandard and contains a noncomplying structure with respect to the following:

| Regulation | Required | Existing | Noncompliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Width | 50.00 Feet | 37.50 Feet | 12.50 Feet |
| Lot Frontage | 50.00 Feet | 37.50 Feet | 12.50 Feet |
| Side Yard (West) | 8.00 Feet | 2.60 Feet | 5.40 Feet (house) |
| Side Yard (West) | 8.00 Feet | 1.80 Feet | 6.20 Feet (porch) |

## VII. Special Exceptions Standards

To grant a special exception, the Board of Zoning Appeals must find that the strict application of the zoning ordinance creates an unreasonable burden on the use and enjoyment of the property. Section 11-1304 of the zoning ordinance lists standards that an applicant must address and that the Board believes exists and thus warrants granting a special exception of the zoning regulations.

1) Whether approval of the special exception will be detrimental to the public welfare, to the neighborhood or to the adjacent properties.

The second-story addition and changes to the front porch will not be detrimental to the public welfare, to the neighborhood, or to the adjacent properties. The proposed second-story expansion of the existing noncomplying wall will be no closer to the west side property line than the majority of the existing one-story building wall of the house. There are other homes in the area that have similar second-story additions. The eaves will be facing the most affected neighbor. This is less impactful as opposed to having the gable end face the neighbor because as proposed the height and mass of the structure increases as the distance from the property line increases.
2) Whether approval of the special exception will impair an adequate supply of light and air to the adjacent property, or cause or substantially increase traffic congestion or increase the danger of fire or the spread of fire or endanger the public safety.

The proposed second-story addition may have some impact to light and air to the adjacent property to the west because the proposal is going from a onestory to a two-story addition, but will be no closer to the property line than the side wall of the existing dwelling that currently sits 5.00 feet from the west side property line.

The proposal on the subject property will not be constructed further back than what currently is there leaving the rear yard open and not hindering additional light and air to the adjacent neighbor's rear yard.

The proposed porch will remain open and will not negatively impact light or air. The porch is not changing is size or footprint but a change in the porch is the roof.
3) Whether approval of the special exception will alter the essential character of the area or zone.

The one-story front-gable brick-front bungalow with a front porch was constructed in ca. 1930 and is identified as a contributing resource within the Town of Potomac National Register Historic District National Register. The fenestration on the existing front/north elevation will be relocated, necessitating the demolition of much of the historic fabric. The addition will change the massing and character of the historic bungalow. The historic integrity of the bungalow will be compromised by the proposed addition and alterations.

While the footprint of the porch will not change, the roof pitch of the porch will. The change in the porch is one aspect where the defining features of the bungalow will compromise the defining character features and the historic integrity.

The loss of the contributing status not only affects the subject property, but also the district as a whole. Incremental losses over the years could lead to the removal of the district from the State and National Registers.
4) Whether the proposal will be compatible with the development in the surrounding neighborhood.

This proposal would be different from most of the houses on the block. On the east side of the property is another bungalow. To the west of the property there are two-story semi-detached units and further down the block there is a set of more modern townhouses built in the 1970s.


#### Abstract

While the proposal would be taller in height of the most affected adjacent neighbors. The new second-floor master bedroom has a portion that has a taller ceiling height almost creating a third story. The fact that this property is zoned RB allows for significantly more floor area ratio (.75) than most of the surrounding properties that are zoned R-2-5 which have a floor area ratio of only .45 . While the zone for the subject property allows more FAR, the placement of the FAR on the lot will make it less compatible than the current houses sitting on the block because the FAR is going up vertically. Because the lot is substandard in width, the placement of the existing house on the lot, the existence of the detached garage and the requirement to maintain a minimum 800 square feet of open space, the applicant's ability to add the square footage on the lot is limited.


5) Whether the proposed development represents the only reasonable means and location on the lot to accommodate the proposed structure given the natural constraints of the lot or the existing development of the lot.

The location of the proposed addition represents the only location of the lot to construct a second story addition above the existing dwelling. However, there are options to construct a smaller second story addition towards the rear of the building in front of the existing rear addition while preserving the front portion of the original bungalow. Due to the existing detached garage at the rear and the requirement to maintain 800 square feet of open space, the applicant would not be able to go back far without the impediment of the garage structure.

The changes to the pitch of the front porch roof will require demolition of much of the historic fabric and is purely a design choice. The existing front porch can be renovated or repaired without changes to the roof form.

## VIII. Staff Analysis and Conclusion

Neighborhood Impact
The architecture of the neighborhood is varied and contains both a mix of one, two and two and a half story dwellings along the block. The addition will change the massing and character of the historic bungalow and much of the character defining features of the bungalow will be modified or demolished. The historic integrity of the bungalow will be significantly compromised by the proposed addition and alterations and will establish a new architectural character for the property. According to Historic Preservation staff, the project could nullify the building's contributing status to the Town of Potomac National Register Historic District National Register. The loss of the contributing status not only affects the subject property, but also the district as a whole. Incremental losses over the years could lead to the removal of the district from the State and National Registers.

## Light and Air

The proposed second-story addition may have some impact to the adjacent property to the west because the proposal is going from a one-story to a two-story addition. The proposed addition may have negligible impact on light and air as it will be constructed in line with the side wall of the existing dwelling that currently sits 5.00 feet from the west side property line.

The proposal on the subject property will not be constructed further back than what is there currently so there will be no new footprint being added to the rear of the house that would impair light or air at the rear. The proposal will be adding height to the existing bungalow forward of the existing rear two-story addition. By adding the new second-story addition and attic over the existing bungalow, the height will exceed that of the most affected neighbor on the west side of the property. On the east side of the property, a Grading Plan has been submitted to the City for the tear down of an existing bungalow (which has also been identified as a contributing structure in the Town of Potomac) to construct a new twostory dwelling unit.

Because the applicants are proposing to construct above the existing one-story front portion of the dwelling, the existing rear yard will not be reduced; therefore, no additional light and air to the adjacent neighbor's rear yard will be affected.

The proposed porch will remain open and will not negatively impact light or air.

## Lot Constraints

The current lot is substandard in width making the lot narrower and it compliance with two 8.00 foot side yards more challenging. The placement of the existing dwelling currently located in the required west side yard setback necessitates applying for a special exception to construct a second story addition above the building footprint. In addition, the applicants are limited as to how far back an addition would be allowed due to the location of the existing detached garage and the requirement to maintain 800 square feet of open space on the lot.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, staff recommends denial of the requested special exceptions to construct a second-story addition and the change the roof pitch of the front porch in the required side yard because the requests do not meet all the standards for a special exception. The proposed addition would change the massing and character of the historic bungalow within the Town of Potomac National Register District, thus altering the essential character of the area.

Staff:
Marlo J.W. Ford, AICP, Urban Planner, marlo.ford@alexandriava.gov
Mary Christesen, Zoning Manager, mary.christesen@alexandriava.gov
Tony LaColla, AICP, Land Use, Division Chief, anthony.lacolla@alexandriava.gov

## DEPARTMENTAL COMMENTS

Legend: C - code requirement R - recommendation S - suggestion F - finding

* The applicant is advised that if the variance is approved the following additional comments apply.


## Transportation and Environmental Services:

R1. The building permit must be approved and issued prior to the issuance of any permit for demolition, if a separate demolition permit is required. (T\&ES)

R2. Applicant shall be responsible for repairs to the adjacent city right-of-way if damaged during construction activity. (T\&ES)

R3. No permanent structure may be constructed over any existing private and/or public utility easements. It is the responsibility of the applicant to identify any and all existing easements on the plan. (T\&ES)

F1. The applicant should provide a determination of disturbed area per City Guidelines to T\&ES prior to submitting for permits. If the land disturbance meets or exceeds 2500 square feet, a released grading plan will be required prior to submitting for permits. (T\&ES)

F2. If the alley located at the rear of the parcel is to be used at any point of the construction process the following will be required:
For a Public Alley - The applicant shall contact T\&ES, Construction Permitting \& Inspections at (703) 746-4035 to discuss any permits and accommodation requirements that will be required.
For a Private Alley - The applicant must provide proof, in the form of an affidavit at a minimum, from owner of the alley granting permission of use. (T\&ES)

C-1The applicant shall comply with the City of Alexandria's Solid Waste Control, Title 5, Chapter 1, which sets forth the requirements for the recycling of materials (Sec. 5-1-99). (T\&ES)

C-2The applicant shall comply with the City of Alexandria's Noise Control Code, Title 11, Chapter 5, which sets the maximum permissible noise level as measured at the property line. (T\&ES)

C-3Roof, surface and sub-surface drains be connected to the public storm sewer system, if available, by continuous underground pipe. Where storm sewer is not available applicant must provide a design to mitigate impact of stormwater drainage onto adjacent properties and to the satisfaction of the Director of Transportation \& Environmental Services. (Sec.5-6-224) (T\&ES)

C-4All secondary utilities serving this site shall be placed underground. (Sec. 5-3-3) (T\&ES)
C-5Any work within the right-of-way requires a separate permit from T\&ES. (Sec. 5-2) (T\&ES)

C-6All improvements to the city right-of-way such as curbing, sidewalk, driveway aprons, etc. must be city standard design. (Sec. 5-2-1) (T\&ES)

## Code Administration:

F-1 A building permit and plan review are required prior to the start of construction.
Recreation (Arborist):
No comments received by this Department

## Historic Alexandria (Archaeology):

No archaeological oversight will be necessary for this undertaking.

## Historic Preservation

The Historic Preservation Staff comments on special use permits, special exceptions, variances and other proposed projects within the Town of Potomac National Register Historic District (as well as the other National Register Districts in the City of Alexandria). We consider the project's impact on the affected historic resource(s) and evaluate the compatibility of the project within the district.

The one-story front-gable brick-front bungalow with a front porch was constructed in ca. 1930 and is identified as a contributing resource within the Town of Potomac National Register Historic District National Register.

The submitted drawings show the replacement of the front porch of the bungalow and the addition of a second story. The fenestration on the existing front/north elevation will be relocated, necessitating the demolition of much of the historic fabric.

Staff notes that plan sheet A2.0 mislabels the rear elevation as the front elevation.
Historic Preservation Staff provides the following comments:

- The addition will change the massing and character of the historic bungalow.
- The majority of the character defining features of the bungalow will be modified or demolished.
- The historic integrity of the bungalow will be significantly compromised by the proposed addition and alterations.
- The proposed project will establish a new architectural character for the property.
- In the opinion of Historic Preservation staff, the project will nullify the building's contributing status.

The loss of the contributing status not only affects the subject property, but also the district as a whole. Incremental losses over the years could lead to the removal of the district from the State and National Registers.

Other Requirements Brought to the Applicant's Attention:
C-1 The special exceptions must be recorded with the property's deed in the City's Land Records Office prior to the release of the building permit.

C-2 Lots will need to be consolidated prior to final inspection should the Board of Zoning Appeals grant the requested special exceptions.
$\qquad$

## APPLICATION BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

## SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR PORCHES

Section of zoning ordinance from which request for special exception is made: 3-706(A)(3)(a)

1. Applicant: $\square$ Owner $\square$ Contract Purchaser $\square$ Agent Name Christopher Tucker
Address 201 North Union St Ste 110
Alexandria, VA 22314
Daytime Phone 703-474-0321
Email Address ctucker@mode4architecture.com
2. Property Location 107 E Windsor Ave
3. Assessment Map \# $\underline{034.04}$ Block $\underline{06}$ Lot $\underline{05}$ Zone RB
4. Legal Property Owner Name Jonathan Renard \& Kari McNair Address 107 E Windsor Ave

## OWNERSHIP AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT <br> Use additional sheets if necessary

1. Applicant. State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an interest in the applicant, unless the entity is a corporation or partnership, in which case identify each owner of more than three percent. The term ownership interest shall include any legal or equitable interest held at the time of the application in the real property which is the subject of the application.

| Name | Address | Percent of Ownership |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Jonathan Renard | 107 E Windsor Ave, Alexandria, VA 22301 | 50 |
| 2. Kari McNair | 107 E Windsor Ave, Alexandria, VA 22301 | 50 |
| 3. |  |  |
| 2. Property. State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an |  |  |

2. Property. State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an interest in the property located at $\qquad$ 107 E Windsor Ave Alexandria, VA 22301 $\qquad$ (address), unless the entity is a corporation or partnership, in which case identify each owner of more than three percent. The term ownership interest shall include any legal or equitable interest held at the time of the application in the real property which is the subject of the application.

| Name | Address | Percent of Ownership |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Jonathan Renard | 107 E Windsor Ave, Alexandria, VA 22301 | 50 |
| 2. Kari McNair | 107 E Windsor Ave, Alexandria, VA.22301 | 50 |
| 3. |  |  |

3. Business or Financial Relationships. Each person or entity indicated above in sections 1 and 2, with an ownership interest in the applicant or in the subject property are require to disclose any business or financial relationship, as defined by Section 11-350 of the Zoning Ordinance, existing at the time of this application, or within the12-month period prior to the submission of this application with any member of the Alexandria City Council, Planning Commission, Board of Zoning Appeals or either Boards of Architectural Review. All fields must be filled out completely. Do not leave blank. (If there are no relationships please indicated each person or entity and "None" in the corresponding fields).

For a list of current council, commission and board members, as well as the definition of business and financial relationship, click here.

| Name of person or entity | Relationship as defined by <br> Section 11-350 of the Zoning <br> Ordinance | Member of the Approving <br> Body (i.e. City Council, <br> Planning Commission, etc.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Jonathan Renard | None | None |
| 2. Kari McNair | None | None |
| 3. |  |  |

NOTE: Business or financial relationships of the type described in Sec. 11-350 that arise after the filing of this application and before each public hearing must be disclosed prior to the public hearings.

As the applicant or the applicant's authorized agent, I hereby attest to the best of my ability that the information provided above is true and correct.

| _01/28/2020 | _Jonathan Renard |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Printed Name | signature |

## BZA Case \#

## 5. Describe request briefly :

The owner would like to change the pitch and style of the roof on the existing front porch.

## 6. If property owner or applicant is being represented by an

 authorized agent, such as an attorney, realtor or other person for which there is a form of compensation, does this agent or the business in which they are employed have a business license to operate in the City of Alexandria, Virginia?$\square$ Yes — Provide proof of current City business license. Lic. \#132682No - Said agent shall be required to obtain a business prior to filing application.

The undersigned hereby attests that all of the information herein provided including the site plan, building elevations, prospective drawings of the projects, etc., are true, correct and accurate. The undersigned further understands that, should such information be found incorrect, any action taken by the Board based on such information may be invalidated. The undersigned also hereby grants the City of Alexandria permission to post placard notice as required by Article XI, Division A, Section 11-301(B) of the 1992 Alexandria City Zoning Ordinance, on the property which is the subject of this application. The applicant, if other than the property owner, also attests that he/she has obtained permission from the property owner to make this application.

## Applicant or Authorized Agent:

Christopher Tucker, AIA

## Print Name

703-474-0321
Telephone


Signature
01.30 .20

Date

Pursuant to Section 13-3-2 of the City Code, the use of a document containing false information may constitute a Class 1 misdemeanor and may result in a punishment of a year in jail or $\$ 2,500$ or both. It may also constitute grounds to revoke the permit applied for with such information.

Note to Applicant: Only one special exception per dwelling shall be approved under the provisions of Section 11-1302(B)(4).
$\qquad$

PART B (SECTIONS 11-1302(C) and 11-1304)
APPLICANT MUST COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
(Please use additional sheets where necessary.)

1. Please provide the following details of the proposed porch:
a. Porch projection from front building wall to deck edge $7^{7-11} 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ existing
b. Length of building wall where porch is to be built $24^{-0 "}$ existing
c. Length of porch deck $21^{-9} 91 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ existing
d. Depth of overhang 18 " roof overhang to the front
e. Distance of furthest projecting porch element from the front property line
7'-10" front property line to the roof overhang
f. Overall height of porch from finished or existing grade $15^{\prime}-1$ 1/24"
g. Height of porch deck from finished or existing grade $3^{3^{\prime}-0} 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$
2. Explain the conditions of the subject property which prevent locating the proposed porch in compliance with the zoning ordinance.
The existing porch is non-conforming to current code.
3. Explain if the proposed porch will be detrimental to the adjacent properties or the neighborhood in general.
The revised porch roof will not be detrimental to the neighboring properties in any way.
4. Explain how the proposed porch will affect the light and air to any adjacent property.
The revised porch roof will not affect light or air to the adjacent properties.
5. Has the applicant shown the plans to the most affected property owners? Have any neighbors objected to the proposed special exception, or have any neighbors written letters of support? If so, please attach the letter.
The owners are currently contacting the neighbors to solicit support and hope to have letters by the hearing date.
$\qquad$
6. The applicant shall demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the proposed porch is compatible with the existing building architecture, neighboring properties and neighborhood character. Provide information such as style and number of similar porches in the immediate neighborhood (provide dimensions and roof height as well as distance from curbline). Photographs should be included as part of the evidence supporting this request.
$\qquad$

## APPLICATION BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

## SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR ADDITIONS

Section of zoning ordinance from which request for special exception is made:
The owners are currently contacting the neighbors to solicit support and hope to have letters by the hearing date.

PART A

1. Applicant: $\square$ Owner $\square$ Contract Purchaser Agent

Name Christopher Tucker
Address 201 North Union St Ste 110
Alexandria, VA 22314
Daytime Phone 703-474-0321
Email Address ctucker@mode4architecture.com
2. Property Location 107 E Windsor Ave
3. Assessment Map \# 034.04 Block 06 Lot 05 Zone RB
4. Legal Property Owner Name Jonathan Renard \& Kari McNair

Address 107 E Windsor Ave

## OWNERSHIP AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT <br> Use additional sheets if necessary

1. Applicant. State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an interest in the applicant, unless the entity is a corporation or partnership, in which case identify each owner of more than three percent. The term ownership interest shall include any legal or equitable interest held at the time of the application in the real property which is the subject of the application.

| Name | Address | Percent of Ownership |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Jonathan Renard | 107 E Windsor Ave, Alexandria, VA 22301 | 50 |
| 2. Kari McNair | 107 E Windsor Ave, Alexandria, VA 22301 | 50 |
| 3. |  |  |
| 2. Property. State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an |  |  |

2. Property. State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an interest in the property located at $\qquad$ 107 E Windsor Ave Alexandria, VA 22301 $\qquad$ (address), unless the entity is a corporation or partnership, in which case identify each owner of more than three percent. The term ownership interest shall include any legal or equitable interest held at the time of the application in the real property which is the subject of the application.

| Name | Address | Percent of Ownership |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Jonathan Renard | 107 E Windsor Ave, Alexandria, VA 22301 | 50 |
| 2. Kari McNair | 107 E Windsor Ave, Alexandria, VA.22301 | 50 |
| 3. |  |  |

3. Business or Financial Relationships. Each person or entity indicated above in sections 1 and 2, with an ownership interest in the applicant or in the subject property are require to disclose any business or financial relationship, as defined by Section 11-350 of the Zoning Ordinance, existing at the time of this application, or within the12-month period prior to the submission of this application with any member of the Alexandria City Council, Planning Commission, Board of Zoning Appeals or either Boards of Architectural Review. All fields must be filled out completely. Do not leave blank. (If there are no relationships please indicated each person or entity and "None" in the corresponding fields).

For a list of current council, commission and board members, as well as the definition of business and financial relationship, click here.

| Name of person or entity | Relationship as defined by <br> Section 11-350 of the Zoning <br> Ordinance | Member of the Approving <br> Body (i.e. City Council, <br> Planning Commission, etc.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Jonathan Renard | None | None |
| 2. Kari McNair | None | None |
| 3. |  |  |

NOTE: Business or financial relationships of the type described in Sec. 11-350 that arise after the filing of this application and before each public hearing must be disclosed prior to the public hearings.

As the applicant or the applicant's authorized agent, I hereby attest to the best of my ability that the information provided above is true and correct.

| _01/28/2020 | _Jonathan Renard |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Printed Name | signature |

$\qquad$

## 5. Describe request briefly:

The owners would like to add a second floor addition over the existing first floor.

## 6. If the property owner or applicant is being represented by an authorized agent,

 such as an attorney, realtor or other person for which there is a form of compensation, does this agent or the business in which they are employed have a business license to operate in the City of Alexandria, Virginia?$\square$ Yes — Provide proof of current City business license. Lic. \#132682
$\square$ No - Said agent shall be required to obtain a business prior to filing application.

THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY ATTESTS that all of the information herein provided including the site plan, building elevations, prospective drawings of the projects, etc., are true, correct and accurate. The undersigned further understands that, should such information be found incorrect, any action taken by the Board based on such information may be invalidated. The undersigned also hereby grants the City of Alexandria permission to post placard notice as required by Article XI, Division A, Section 11-301(B) of the 1992 Alexandria City Zoning Ordinance, on the property which is the subject of this application. The applicant, if other than the property owner, also attests that he/she has obtained permission from the property owner to make this application.

## APPLICANT OR AUTHORIZED AGENT:

## Christopher Tucker, AIA

## Print Name

703-474-0321
Telephone


Signature
01.30 .20

Date

Pursuant to Section 13-3-2 of the City Code, the use of a document containing false information may constitute a Class 1 misdemeanor and may result in a punishment of a year in jail or $\$ 2,500$ or both. It may also constitute grounds to revoke the permit applied for with such information.
$\qquad$

NOTE TO APPLICANT: Only one special exception per dwelling shall be approved under the provisions of Section 11-1302(B)(4).

PART B (SECTION 11-1304)
APPLICANT MUST EXPLAIN THE FOLLOWING:
(Please use additional pages where necessary.)

1. Explain how the special exception for the proposed addition, if granted, meets the applicant's needs.

The owners would like the second floor of their home to have three bedrooms.
2. Explain if the special exception, if granted, will harm adjoining properties or impact the neighborhood in any way.
This second story addition will not harm the neighbors in any way.
3. Explain how the proposed addition will affect the light and air to any Special exception to revise the roof of an existing front porch.

## BZA Case \#

$\qquad$
4. Explain how the proposed addition is compatible with other properties in the neighborhood and the character of the neighborhood as a whole.
One adjacent property has a second floor, one property across the street has a second floor. The property directly across the street is a three story school. Two story homes are very common in this neighborhood.
5. How is the proposed construction similar to other buildings in the immediate area?
Adding a second floor is very common in the neighborhood.
6. Explain how this plan represents the only reasonable location on the lot to Due to setbacks and an existing rear addition, it is the most logical path forward to increasing this home's size.
7. Has the applicant shown the plans to the most affected property owners? Have any neighbors objected to the proposed special exception, or have any neighbors written letters of support? If so, please attach the letter.
The owners are currently contacting the neighbors and hope to have letters of support by the hearing date.

## A. Property Information

A1. 107 E Windsor Ave
Street Address
A2. 4,313.00
Total Lot Area
B. Existing Gross Floor Area Existing Gross Area

| Basement | 849.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Floor | $1,138.00$ |
| Second Floor | 390.00 |
| Third Floor | 0.00 |
| Attic | $1,138.00$ |
| Porches | 170.00 |
| Balcony/Deck | 70.00 |
| Garage | 308.00 |
| Other*** |  |
| Total Gross | $4,063.00$ |

B1. Total Gross
4,063.00
C. Proposed Gross Floor Area

Proposed Gross Area

| Basement | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Floor | 0.00 |
| Second Floor | 723.00 |
| Third Floor |  |
| Attic | 100.00 |
| Porches |  |
| Balcony/Deck |  |
| Garage |  |
| Other*** |  |
| Total Gross | 823.00 |

## D. Total Floor Area

D1. $2,403.50$
Sq. Ft.
Total Floor Area (add B3 and C3)
D2. 3,235.00 Sq. Ft.
Total Floor Area Allowed by Zone (A2)

X
0.75
Floor Area Ratio Allowed by Zone

Allowable Exclusions**

| Basement** $^{* *}$ | 849.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stairways $^{* *}$ | 155.50 |

Mechanical** 0.00
Attic less than $7^{\text {** }} 1,138.00$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Porches** } & 170.00 \\ \text { Balcony/Deck** } & 70.00\end{array}$
Garage** 0.00
Other***
Other***
B2. Total Exclusions 2,382.50
RB
Zone

$=3,235.00$<br>Maximum Allowable Floor Area
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Ursula Koenig Burgess<br>Joseph D. Burgess<br>3051 Mt. Vernon Avenue, \#S-327<br>Alexandria, VA 22305

March 9, 2020

To whom it may concern:
We are the owners of the lot located at 109 E . Windsor Avenue, immediately adjacent to the property owned by Jonathan Renard and Kari McNair. We met with them a month or so ago to review their house plans and to discuss our building plans and it is our understanding that they are planning a second story addition to the existing 1928 bungalow on the lot to add more living space to the home.

We believe that the project is in line with and consistent with Del Ray architecture and we believe the addition would have a positive impact on the neighborhood if approved, including the overall increase in property values for the area. We fully support their application for the work and hope that the City approves it.

If you have questions, we would be happy to speak with you.


March 16, 2020

To Whom It May Concern:
Our names are Elaina and Stephen Palincsar and we own the property at 106 E Howell Ave. We understand that Jonathan Renard and Kari McNair are planning a secondstory addition on top of the existing structure in order to add usable space to their 1928 bungalow.

After reviewing the project plans, we feel that the proposed addition successfully addresses the insensitive tower addition that had been previously added (which is clearly visible from our backyard) while making thoughtful design choices that are keeping with the spirit of the architecture found within the neighborhood.


Elaina and Stephen Palincsar
(703) 548-1585

To Whom It May Concern:
My name is Sue Bernstein and I am the owner of the property at 105 E Windsor Ave, adjacent to 107 E Windsor Ave. I understand that Jonathan Renard and Kari McNair are planning a second-story addition on top of the existing structure in order to add usable space to their 1928 bungalow.

While I am the closest neighbor to the existing structure at 107 E Windsor Ave, I have no issues with the proposed addition nor concerns of negative impact to my property.

Sincerely,


[^0]703.399.6167


[^0]:    Sue Bernstein

