******DRAFT MINUTES***** Board of Architectural Review Wednesday, July 10, 2019 7:00pm, Council Chambers, City Hall 301 King Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314

| Christine Roberts, Chair |
|---------------------------|
| James Spencer, Vice Chair |
| Purvi Irwin |
| John Sprinkle |
| Bill Conkey |
| Lynn Neihardt |
| Robert Adams |
| |

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Al Cox, Historic Preservation Manager Susan Hellman, Historic Preservation Principal Planner

I. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u>

The Board of Architectural Review hearing was called to order at 7:00 p.m. All members were present, with Mr. Conkey arriving at 7:12pm.

II. <u>MINUTES</u>

2. Consideration of the minutes from the June 19, 2019 public hearing.

BOARD ACTION: Approved as Submitted

By unanimous consent, the Board of Architectural Review approved the minutes from the June 19, 2019 meeting, as submitted.

III. <u>CONSENT CALENDAR</u>

3. BAR #2019-00237 PG

Request for alterations at 501 North Alfred Street Applicant: Lidia Buzek

BOARD ACTION: Approved as Submitted, 6-0

On a motion by Ms. Irwin and seconded by Mr. Spencer, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2019-00237, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 6-0.

CONDITION

1. That the vertical muntins in the two-over-two windows be $1 \frac{1}{8}$ wide.

REASON

The Board found the project appropriate and supported staff's recommendation.

SPEAKERS

Lidia Buzek, the property owner, spoke in favor of the project and was available to answer any questions.

DISCUSSION

Ms. Irwin removed the item from the consent calendar, she asked the property owner if she knew when the windows, thought to be replaced in 2006, were replaced. Ms. Buzek clarified that they were replaced in mid-1970. Ms. Roberts asked Ms. Buzek if she agreed with staff recommendation, the answer was yes. There was no further discussion.

IV. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>

4. BAR #2019-00216 OHAD

Request for revisions to previously approved plans to demolish/ capsulate at 10 Duke Street Applicant: 2 Duke Street, LLC

5. BAR #2019-00217 OHAD

Request for revisions to previously approved plans at 10 Duke Street Applicant: 2 Duke Street, LLC

BOARD ACTION: Approved as Submitted, 7-0

On a motion by Ms. Irwin and seconded by Mr. Conkey, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2019-00216 & BAR #2019-00217, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 7-0.

CONDITION

- 1. Digitally photograph and clearly label all interior elevations, exterior elevations, and architectural details of the building and provide one digital copy each to the Department of Planning & Zoning and the Alexandria Library Special Collections prior to issuance of a demolition permit. The applicant shall also pay to make digital copies of any original construction blueprints located in City Archives so that these may be made more easily available to the public on the City's website;
- 2. All required archaeological preservation measures shall be completed in compliance with Section 11-411 of the Zoning Ordinance. The Final Site Plan, Grading Plan, or any other permits involving ground disturbing activities (such as coring, grading, filling, vegetation removal, undergrounding utilities, pile driving, landscaping and other excavations as defined in Section 2-151 of the Zoning Ordinance) shall not be released until the City archaeologist confirms that all archaeological field work has been completed or that an approved Resource Management Plan is in place to recover significant resources in concert with construction activities; * (Archaeology)
- 3. Work closely with City staff to develop a plan to document, label, carefully remove,

protect from weather and store the masonry wall material, floor framing and roof truss system on site or a nearby location, approved by staff, for the duration of the project to ensure that they are not damaged;

- 4. Work closely with BAR staff in the field to ensure that historic fabric that may not currently be known or visible is not lost during the rehabilitation;
- 5. Submit historically appropriate mortar samples for final approval by BAR staff.
- 6. Match the cantilevered deck material of the cantilevered balconies of the adjacent townhouses;
- 7. Provide a glass and steel awning above the south entrance to the Market, similar to the previously approved awning at the north building entrance, with final details to be reviewed and approved by staff;
- 8. Lower the steel lintel above below the north masonry gable approximately one foot;
- 9. Incorporate historic interpretation in the form of a plaque or marker that relates specifically to this historic warehouse, using the same design and materials as the approved Robinson Landing historic interpretation;
- 10. Work with staff to establish standards for the building's laser scanning and documentation.

REASON

The Board was pleased with the project and supported staff recommendations. The BAR appreciated the applicant's creative solution to this complicated issue. They also applauded the applicant for agreeing to digitally document the building using laser-scan technology. Ms. Neihardt referred to the project as cutting-edge preservation in the face of rising sea levels threatening historic structures.

SPEAKERS

James Palmer, the project's architect, presented the project and was available to answer any questions.

DISCUSSION

Ms. Irwin asked if the architect was considering documenting the building using the laser scanning technology. Mr. Palmer confirmed that they are going to digitally scan the building using the laser technology and that his firm has already requested proposals for the work. Ms. Irwin explained the marvels of the new technology and asked if the architect was going to re-study the roof portion of the project. Mr. Palmer explained that his team has been working closely with staff to come up with the best solution for the roof. Mr. Cox added that the project has zoning constraints, but the architect is working closely with city's staff to finalize the project the best way possible.

Ms. Roberts inquired if a copy of the presentation and information about the building will be available to the public including the digital, laser scanning, files. Mr. Cox explained that the intention is to have all the information available in the City's website.

Ms. Irwin recommended referring to the roof monitor at Eastern Market, which has a similar design to the one proposed for 10 Duke Street.

Mr. Sprinkle complimented the project and asked if the architect is considering using federal and/or state tax credit incentives. Mr. Palmer affirmed the firm's intention. Mr. Sprinkle asked Mr. Cox if the Secretary of the Interior's Standards were taken into consideration since the federal and state agencies use the Standards to appraise tax credit proposals. Mr. Cox replied by saying that the City's Design Guidelines were based on preservation best practices and so were the Secretary of the Interior's Standards therefore the applicant should not have any problem to get federal and state's approval.

6. BAR #2019-00228 OHAD

Request for partial demolition/ capsulation at 616 South Fairfax Street Applicants: Suzanne Corcoran & Dennis Early

7. BAR #2019-00229 OHAD

Request for revisions to previously approved plans at 616 South Fairfax Street Applicants: Suzanne Corcoran & Dennis Early

BOARD ACTION: Approved as Submitted, 6-1

On a motion by Mr. Conkey and seconded by Ms. Neihardt, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2019-00228 & BAR #2019-00229, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 6-1, with Ms. Irwin opposed.

CONDITION

- 1. Submit window specifications to staff prior to applying for building permit to confirm compliance with the BAR's adopted window policy;
- 2. The following archaeology conditions shall appear in the General Notes of all site plans and on all construction documents that involve demolition or ground disturbance (including Basement/Foundation Plans, Demolition, Erosion and Sediment Control, Grading, Landscaping, Utilities, and Sheeting and Shoring), so that on-site contractors are aware of the requirements.
 - a. Call Alexandria Archaeology immediately (703-746-4399) if any buried structural remains (wall foundations, wells, privies, cisterns, etc.) or concentrations of artifacts are discovered during development. Work must cease in the area of the discovery until a City archaeologist comes to the site and records the finds.
 - b. No metal detection may be conducted on the property, unless authorized by Alexandria Archaeology.

REASON

The Board had general questions about the application but supported the request.

SPEAKERS

Erin May, architect, spoke on behalf of the property owner.

DISCUSSION

The Board discussed the necessity of replacing the doors and windows. The Board generally supported the proposed addition.

8. BAR #2019-00230 OHAD

Request for alterations at 1111 Michigan Court Applicant: Theresa Rachael Dery

BOARD ACTION: Approved as Amended, 7-0

On a motion by Ms. Neihardt and seconded by Mr. Conkey, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2019-00230, as amended. The motion carried on a vote of 7-0.

CONDITION

The Board stipulated staff's alternative recommendation that permanently affixed muntins be added to the exterior of the windows to replicate simulated divided lights.

REASON

The Board had general questions and agreed with staff alternative recommendation.

SPEAKERS

Theresa Rachael Dery, the property owner, spoke in favor of the application and was available to answer any questions.

DISCUSSION

Ms. Dery explained that she had the windows replaced when she purchased the house in 2014 when the building was totally renovated. She said that the contractor she had hired was supposed to get the required approvals and permits and that she wasn't aware that the windows were not approved by the BAR staff. She also asked the Board to approve the windows *as is* since she has had the windows for five years, the windows are mostly on the upper floors, the street is private, and there are other windows with sandwich muntins in the same development.

Ms. Roberts explained that some neighbors in the same development could be outside the historic district boundary, therefore, not under the BAR purview. Ms. Irwin asked if the property owner had asked the Pella representative to add the muntins, Ms. Dery replied by saying that she had not because she would prefer not to have the muntins applied since they will probably cost her and she wanted to see the outcome of the hearing first. Mr. Cox explained that adding the muntins is an easy process and should not be a costly fix since Pella carry the muntins in their product line and all needed is glue, he also explained that the case was before the Board due to a violation notice issued a couple of weeks prior. Ms. Roberts explained that the options for the property owner were full replacement or adding the muntins.

9. BAR #2019-00231 OHAD

Request for partial demolition/ capsulation at 626 South Saint Asaph Street Applicant: SW 626 Asaph, LLC

10. BAR #2019-00232 OHAD

Request for addition & alterations at 626 South Saint Asaph Street

Applicant: SW 626 Asaph, LLC

BOARD ACTION: Approved as Submitted, 7-0

On a motion by Mr. Sprinkle and seconded by Ms. Irwin, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2019-00231 BAR #2019-00232, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 7-0.

CONDITION

- 1. Submit window specifications to staff prior to applying for building permit to confirm compliance with the BAR's adopted window policy;
- 2. The applicant can work with staff to develop a solution regarding the screening of the 2^{nd} floor window;
- 3. Include the following archaeology conditions in the General Notes of all site plans and on all construction documents that involve demolition or ground disturbance (including Basement/Foundation Plans, Demolition, Erosion and Sediment Control, Grading, Landscaping, Utilities, and Sheeting and Shoring), so that on-site contractors are aware of the requirements.
 - a. Call Alexandria Archaeology immediately (703-746-4399) if any buried structural remains (wall foundations, wells, privies, cisterns, etc.) or concentrations of artifacts are discovered during development. Work must cease in the area of the discovery until a City archaeologist comes to the site and records the finds.
 - b. No metal detection may be conducted on the property, unless authorized by Alexandria Archaeology.

REASON

The Board was in support of the proposed addition and applauded the applicant for wanting to develop a mutually beneficial solution to the window issue.

SPEAKERS

Steve Kulinski, architect, spoke on behalf of the property owner.

John Nelson, 624 S. St. Asaph St., concerned with the lack of privacy that may be created from the proposed window on the north elevation.

DISCUSSION

The Board was in favor of the project and discussed window treatment options to address the concerns of the neighbors at 624. S. St. Asaph St.

11. BAR #2019-00233 OHAD

Request for partial demolition/ capsulation at 1607 King Street Applicant: Alabama Avenue, LC

12. BAR #2019-00234 OHAD

Request for addition & alterations at 1607 King Street Applicant: Alabama Avenue, LC

BOARD ACTION: Approved as Submitted, 7-0

On a motion by Mr. Spencer and seconded by Ms. Neihardt, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2019-00233 & BAR #2019-00234, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 7-0.

CONDITION

- 1. Include the archaeology conditions below in the General Notes of all site plans and on all construction documents that involve demolition or ground disturbance (including Basement/Foundation Plans, Demolition, Erosion and Sediment Control, Grading, Landscaping, Utilities, and Sheeting and Shoring) so that on-site contractors are aware of the requirements:
 - a. Call Alexandria Archaeology (703.746.4399) two weeks before the starting date of any ground disturbance so that a monitoring and inspection schedule for city archaeologists can be arranged.
 - b. Call Alexandria Archaeology immediately (703.746.4399) if any buried structural remains (wall foundations, wells, privies, cisterns, etc.) or concentrations of artifacts are discovered during development. Work must cease in the area of the discovery until a City archaeologist comes to the site and records the finds.
 - c. No metal detection may be conducted on the property, unless authorized by Alexandria Archaeology.

REASON

The Board supported the alterations to the historic portion of the property finding that the removal of the Colonial Revival detailing (door surround, shutters and multi-light windows) was appropriate since the building no longer retained its original integrity. Further, the BAR noted that there had been significant changes to the front façade in the 1970s. They also had no objection to the addition or the roof mounted HVAC condensers.

SPEAKERS

Steve Kulinski, applicant's architect, spoke in support of the project and answered questions. He noted that the inset portion on the addition (east elevation) would be expanded to the south for a greater setback from the property line windows at 1605 King Street.

Lee Choi, 1609 King Street, said that she had two concerns relating to the project. The first was the location of two windows on the east wall of the one-story portion of her building and whether the new addition would impact those windows. She also stated that she was concerned that the underpinning of the addition might negatively impact her foundation. Mr. Kulinski said that they would continue to work with the neighbor during the design and construction portion of the project to mitigate any impacts.

DISCUSSION

The Board discussed the removal of some of the original features and some 1970s features on the front façade and supported the updates to the exterior of the building, as well as the new construction. Mr. Conkey asked about the grading of the site in front of

the ground floor retail and Mr. Kulinski explained how the grade would be sloped outside the retail door and that some of the accommodation would be in the interior. Mr. Sprinkle reminded the applicant of the archeology conditions and Ms. Irwin said she was pleased that the shutters were being removed. The BAR also supported the coordination between the applicant and the adjacent property owner.

13. BAR #2019-00235 OHAD

Request for partial demolition/ capsulation at 500 Duke Street Applicant: 500 Duke Street, LLC

14. BAR #2019-00236 OHAD

Request for alterations at 500 Duke Street Applicant: 500 Duke Street, LLC

BOARD ACTION: Deferred by the Applicant

By unanimous consent, the Board of Architectural Review accepted the request for deferral of BAR #2019-00235 & BAR #2019-00236.

REASON

Applicant felt ill-prepared to discuss staff recommendations, as he did not see the staff report prior to the hearing.

SPEAKERS

Val Hawkins, Jr. is the applicant, and told the BAR he did not see the staff report prior to the hearing, so felt unprepared to discuss staff recommendations. He indicated that he is working to bring the house to its original form as closely as possible. He found an old photograph of the house that depicts a Victorian turret at one corner of the ell. The current design of the house dates to the 1968 restoration/renovation by the previous owners, the Taylor family. Mr. Hawkins referenced a visit to the site by Al Cox, Historic Preservation Manager. Mr. Cox had told the applicant that the BAR often approves demolition of wall space beneath a window. Mr. Hawkins thought that Mr. Cox said that the BAR often approves demolition of the wall space between door and window as well.

John Santarelli of 504 Duke Street spoke in favor of the application. He has lived at 504 Duke Street for forty years, knew the previous owner well, and knows the applicant well. He noted that the applicant was very close to the previous owner and loves the house at 500 Duke Street. Mr. Santarelli fully supports the proposed changes.

Val Hawkins, Sr. also spoke in favor of the application, indicating that is it a wonderful restoration of a special house. He is the father of the applicant and lives at 418 Duke Street. He noted that the applicant did not receive the staff report in advance of the hearing. There was some level of misunderstanding.

DISCUSSION

Chair Ms. Roberts requested the approximate square footage of the wall area proposed to be demolished. Mr. Cox indicated it is approximately seventy square feet.

Ms. Robert suggested a deferral to July 24 to give the applicant time to review the staff recommendations and provide staff with the historic photo he mentioned. Staff also agreed to a site visit prior to July 24.

Mr. Adams suggested retaining the brick pier between the door and window of the south wall of the main block of the house. The applicant could then push the kitchen island to abut the pier. He also recommended retaining some vestige of the extant door on the carriage house.

15. BAR #2019-00238 OHAD

Request for partial demolition/ capsulation at 218 Green Street Applicant: Larkin Stevens

16. BAR #2019-00239 OHAD

Request for addition at 218 Green Street Applicant: Larkin Stevens

BOARD ACTION: Approved as Submitted, 7-0

On a motion by Mr. Conkey and seconded by Ms. Neihardt, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2019-00238 & BAR #2019-00239, as submitted. The motion carried on a vote of 7-0.

REASON

The Board supported the proposed demolition/capsulation and agreed that only a minor portion of the addition would be visible from the right-of-way.

SPEAKERS

Brian Rosenhein, Phoenix Home Services, was available to answer questions. Richard Creason, Phoenix Home Services, was available to answer questions.

DISCUSSION

The BAR recognized that most of the addition, except for a portion of the roof, was not under their purview because it would not be visible from the right-of-way but still stated that the design could have been more thoughtfully designed. Ms. Irwin encouraged the applicant to consider replacing the proposed vinyl pictures windows with a more high-quality material such as fiberglass or aluminum cladding.

17. BAR #2019-00241 OHAD

Request for new construction at 2901 Potomac Avenue (2405, 2501, 3701 Potomac Avenue, 3251 Potomac Avenue [Parcel ID 016.04-01-01], 700 Carpenter Road, 1702 and 1880 Potomac Greens Drive, 2 George Washington Memorial Parkway)

Applicant: City of Alexandria and Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA)

BOARD ACTION: Partially Approved as Amended, 6-0

On a motion by Mr. Conkey and seconded by Mr. Spencer, the Board of Architectural Review voted to approve BAR #2019-00241, as amended. The motion carried on a vote of 6-0. Mr. Sprinkle recused himself.

The BAR approved the Potomac Yard Metrorail Station for the following items:

- Height
- Scale
- Footprint
- Architectural Character

ITEMS FOR DEFERRAL AND RESTUDY FOR JULY 24 HEARING

The BAR did not approve the massing of the proposed station or the length of the platform canopy or the piers, and recognized that the applicant would likely return on July 24, 2019 with the following additional information:

- Massing provide additional views of the intersection of the roof of the station between the platform and mezzanine levels to illustrate that the elements are visually distinct and not overlapping.
- Length of platform canopy provide WMATA documentation showing that the entire platform must be covered.
- Piers restudy the design of the piers to incorporate stone and better relate to pedestrian bridges.

ITEMS FOR DEFERRAL AND RESTUDY FOR BAR FUTURE REVIEWS

- 1. Provide more information on the proposed MT3 Simulated Weathered Steel, with a CorTen like finish to confirm its durability and constructability.
- 2. Restudy the proportions, connections and construction details of the following:
 - a. The roof structure above the pedestrian bridge trusses;
 - b. The visual transparency of the guard fencing on the pedestrian bridges and whether this should be installed on the inside or the outside of the bridge truss elements;
 - c. The shape of the concrete bridge support piers;
 - d. The detailing of the roof structure above the platform, including the fabrication details and the height of the steel columns and the trapezoidal shaped longitudinal beam cover at the side of the pedestrian platform;
 - e. Glass handrail details at the station platform;
 - f. Metal louver connection details; and,
 - g. Security fence/barrier facing the George Washington Memorial Parkway.
- 3. Provide detailed information on the size, materials and lighting of the proposed signs.
- 4. Provide detailed information on the color, lumens and type of overall architectural and pedestrian lighting, including advertising displays, to assess their impact on views from the Parkway.
- 5. Provide plans, exterior elevations and design details to evaluate the ramps and pavilions on the west side of the rail tracks.
- 6. Provide a materials sample board for BAR review with all materials that are visible from the Parkway.

- 7. Provide full size wall mock-up panels per the DSUP condition for final approval by City staff.
- 8. <u>Restudy paving material options on walkway/emergency vehicle path on east side of station.</u>
- 9. <u>Select a mesh that is as open and visually light as possible.</u>
- 10. <u>Provide additional views from the Parkway and from Potomac Greens</u>. If possible, bring <u>a live model to allow the BAR to explore to the project more fully at subsequent public hearings</u>.
- 11. <u>Provide a site section to demonstrate changing grades and the relationship of the berm</u> <u>and landscaping to station design.</u>

REASON

The BAR supported and approved key elements of the station design including the height, scale, footprint and general architectural character, finding them consistent with the Design Guidelines and Standards for a Certificate of Appropriateness. Because of the scope of the project and the design/build nature of the project, the BAR noted that this project will be approved in phases. Items that needed clarification and additional materials such as the massing and the piers, could be reviewed again at the July 24, 2019 BAR hearing. The BAR made additional comments regarding materials and design elements that they will need to complete their review prior to final approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness in the coming months.

SPEAKERS

Catherine Miliaras gave a presentation providing background information on the overall project which the OHAD BAR previously reviewed at seven concept review work sessions from April 2015-May 2016.

Daphne Kott, project manager for Potomac Yard Metro of the Department of Project Implementation, gave a brief update on the design/build process and responded to questions.

Tommy Garcia with PYC Constructors noted that the applicant hopes to nail down the building, mass, and footprint this evening, as well as introduce some design materials. They plan to piggyback some construction on the current Metro shutdown, to avoid night construction disturbing area neighbors. They hope to get approval for design elements at a September BAR meeting. Some elements of construction must comply with WMATA standards. He also indicated that CSX requires a mesh panel over any bridge or walkway over their tracks. The mesh panels holes may be a maximum of two inches in diameter.

Paol Hertel, 3716 Carriage House Court, Alexandria, VA 22309 (Fairfax County), expressed concerns with the station design. Mr. Hertel believes that the proposed design is inappropriate and will be visible from the George Washington Memorial Parkway.

Katy Cannady, 20 East Oak Street, Alexandria, VA expressed concerns with the environmental impact of the station as well as its design.

DISCUSSION

The BAR supported several aspects of the project and noted they were consistent with what had previously been reviewed during the concept review work sessions. Several BAR members expressed concern over the shape and material of the concrete bridge piers, questioning why the stone previously shown had been removed and noting that the form seemed somewhat foreign to the pedestrian bridges and overall station design approach. There was also concern about the surface material for the eastern walkway/emergency driveway and a recommendation that it not be asphalt.

Ms. Irwin noted that the design shows a roof canopy over the entire platform and asked if that is a WMATA requirement. Many Metro stops do not have full platform coverage and limiting the full extent of the roofing could reduce the overall massing.

Mr. Spencer said that the bridge piers did not match the language of the station; they were too utilitarian. They should speak the language of the Parkway.

The BAR discussed the mesh that CSX requires on any pedestrian bridge over their tracks. The holes in the mesh must be a maximum of two inches large. The BAR requested that the holes be at the maximum size to visually lighten the pedestrian bridges as much as possible.

Mr. Conkey and Mr. Spencer pointed out that the drawings appeared to show the sloped roof and mezzanine roof colliding where they intersect. They noted it appeared to be an awkward and uncomfortable relationship. Mr. Garcia said that the drawings were misleading; the roofs did not collide and there was sufficient breathing room. The BAR requested updated accurate renderings.

Mr. Spencer commented that the images provided by the applicant make the building appear far more massive than it actually is. Mr. Conkey suggested that the applicant provide sections through the trees, giving a more realistic depiction of the building. Ms. Irwin requested that the applicant bring a live model to subsequent hearings.

V. OTHER BUSINESS

18. <u>Deferral requested by staff</u>

An Informational Update on the OHAD Digital Survey Phase II

VI. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

The Board of Architectural Review hearing was adjourned at 10:23 p.m.

VII. ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS

BAR #2019-00208 Request for small cell antenna on utility pole on public property adjacent to 911 Jefferson Street Applicant: Smartlink o/b/o AT&T BAR #2019-00247 Request for repointing at 108 North Fairfax Street Applicant: COA: General Services

BAR #2019-00248 Request for repointing at 418 South Lee Street Applicant: Vaughan Masonry

BAR #2019-00249 Request for repointing at 405 Cameron Street Applicant: Vaughn Masonry

BAR #2019-00250 Request for window replacements at 9 Franklin Street Applicant: Dale Church

BAR #2019-00251 Request for window replacement at 120 Princess Street Applicant: Karlen Murray

BAR #2019-00252 Request for window replacement at 205 South Payne Street Applicant: Karlen Murray

BAR #2019-00253 Request for small cell antenna on utility pole on public property adjacent to 411 Gibbon Street Applicant: Smartlink o/b/o AT&T

BAR #2019-00254 Request for garage door at 124 Quay Street Applicant: Frank Pisch

BAR #2019-00255 Request for mailbox replacements at 112 Waterford Place / 310 South Union Street Applicant: Diance Guerra

BAR #2019-00256 Request for roof replacement at 1307 Queen Street Applicant: Scott Bindie

BAR #2019-00257 Request for window, door and siding replacement at 125 Wolfe Street Applicant: Ricardo Navarro BAR #2019-00258 Request for roof replacement at 328 South Washington Street Applicant: Springfield Roofing

BAR #2019-00259 Request for small cell antenna at 610 Montgomery Street Applicant: Crown Castle Fiber LLC f/n/a New Path Networks LLC

BAR #2019-00267 Request for roof repair at 115 Quay Street Applicant: John Polbek

BAR #2019-00268 Request for signage at 108 North Washington Street Applicant: Hazel O Salon

BAR #2019-00269 Request for siding repair at 604 South Fairfax Street Applicant: Gayland French

BAR #2019-00271 Request for roof repair at 300 King Street Applicant: Aman Sulaimani