

Kristen Walentisch

From: Ann Horowitz
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 5:04 PM
To: Kristen Walentisch; Kendra Jacobs
Cc: Alex Dambach
Subject: FW: 805 King Street Hotel

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Krissy and Kendra,

Please include this email exchange in the Planning Commission materials for SUP2016-0027, 805 King Street.

Thank you.

Ann

From: Ann Horowitz
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 5:02 PM
To: 'Steve Milone'
Cc: Alex Dambach
Subject: RE: 805 King Street Hotel

Steve,

Thank you for sending your note along on this issue. The available parking spaces at the Alfred Street parking garage did not require a parking reduction as they are located within 500 feet of the hotel and are in a commercial zone (Zoning Ordinance Sec. 8-200(C)(3)). In the staff report, you will see we have ensured that the parking requirement will be met through Condition 9, which states that the applicant must maintain 9-spaces in this parking garage through a parking agreement. The garage operator has confirmed that the 9-parking spaces are available in the Alfred Street garage in an email that appears on page 26 of the docket materials.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Thank you.

Ann

From: Steve Milone [<mailto:stevemilone@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 11:09 PM
To: Ann Horowitz
Subject: 805 King Street Hotel

Ann,

I saw that Rob Kaufman applied for an apartment hotel at 805 King Street. I don't have an issue with the application generally but don't understand after reading the application why there is not a parking reduction request included as part of the application.

The application states that guests will arrive by public transportation and that the owner has an "arrangement" with Bob Brandt to use his Alfred Street garage and can direct guests to park there. That is not owning and controlling spaces as is required to be available to meet the parking requirement. There is not even a long term lease when a perpetual lease should have to accompany the hotel use, or we should be honest about it and require submission of an SUP for parking reduction for the use. This joins many other parking demands in our neighborhood where parking is already very tight. From the application, it appears that Staff is providing a pass from the parking requirement. All of these changes add up over time and I am concerned that the City is not keeping tally.

Sincerely,
-Steve Milone
M703-986-8536