

20  
6-17-23

## Gloria Sitton

**From:** noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of Alex311 <alex311@alexandriava.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 16, 2023 9:10 AM  
**To:** Angeline Farri; Kimberly Welch; Amy Jackson; John Chapman; Kirk McPike; harold.alexander@alexandriava.gov; Melissa Douglas; Karl Bach; Sabrina Encarnacion; Jamye Young; Gloria Sitton; Mark McHugh; Suzanne Derr; Art Viwatpanahchat; CRM Administrator; Christina Thompson; Amy Eggers; Jahaira Patterson; Emerita C. Valentin; Tracy Thompson; Tenesia Wells; Lauren Pickeral; Kay Mendenhall; Stephanie Cruz; Regina Benavides; Sarah Bagley; Sharon Pitts; Keia Waters; Alyia Gaskins; Reese Glassman; Canek Aguirre; Cindy Jenkins; Justin Wilson; Tiffany Fuller  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]23-00016490 - Open - COU-Contact Mayor, Vice Mayor and/or City Council

COU-Contact Mayor, Vice Mayor and/or City Council (23-00016490) service request has been created, updated, and/or requires your attention.

<b>Service Request Type:</b>	COU-Contact Mayor, Vice Mayor and/or City Council	<b>Status:</b>	Open
<b>Service Request Number:</b>	23-00016490	<b>Created By:</b>	Customer Site Guest User
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>SLA Detail:</b>	5 Business Days
<b>Method Received:</b>	Web	<b>Submitted On:</b>	6/16/2023 9:08 AM
<b>Location:</b>		<b>Overdue On:</b>	6/23/2023 9:08 AM
<b>Description:</b>	<p>Dear City Council, I am writing to you about the Eugene Simpson Stadium Park Renovation Plan. I live on Duncan Ave in one of the houses that abuts the northern section of the park. I use, or walk through, the park almost every day. Overall the plan seems like a solution in search of a problem. I understand the parking lot can get very busy a few weekends a year, but for the most part, there is plenty of capacity at the park. The biggest issue for me is how long it has taken to complete the dog park renovation. As a result, I have concerns about the general disruption to use of the park during the renovation, especially given the inevitable delays. I do also see issues with the design of the northern section of the park that reflect a lack of local understanding. I've included a markup of the design with my house highlighted with a red box. Currently, there is a line of shrubs and trees (green line) that provides a barrier between the park area and route one. This creates a peaceful area for people to picnic and hang out. The new design eliminates that barrier which will leave the park very exposed to Route 1. I also have privacy concerns with eliminating that tree line as well as worries about noise from Route 1. If approved, the landscaping should be changed to provide more of a barrier to Route 1 on the East side of the park and more privacy to the alley on the west side (so people don't have to see our garbage cans). The biggest issue though is with the proposed paths. The current design of 2 wide paved paths winding through the park just doesn't make sense. It ignores the existing vernacular path that currently runs along the north end of the soccer field (Red Line), which is used by people coming north up Route 1 or from Slaters road. That is also where the bus stop and bike share stations are as well as the closest connection to the Potomac Yards trail. Given that, this is a very important connection for the park. The connections to Bellefonte and to the alley (why?) are less important. It would make much more sense to have a single wide paved path connecting the park to Route 1 along the soccer fields. The other paths could be eliminated entirely, leaving the park more open for casual enjoyment. If a path is needed, it could be narrower and less intrusive (like dirt or gravel). This would make it more pleasant for people to enjoy the park, guide people on bikes and scooters towards the Potomac yards trail, and probably save some money. I am unfortunately unable to attend the hearing tomorrow but I hope you take this feedback into account as you consider the plan. Sincerely, Eric Ashcroft 543 Duncan Ave</p>		

**Contact Information:**

<b>Name:</b>	Eric Ashcroft	<b>Primary Phone:</b>	+1 (646) 594-3869
<b>Email:</b>	ashcroft.eric@gmail.com	<b>Social Persona:</b>	

**Service Questions:**

<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>
Which Council Member would you like to contact?	All Members
What is the nature of your request?	Legislative or Policy Issues
Is your request related to the upcoming public hearing or Council meeting?	Yes



---

DISCLAIMER: This message was sent from outside the City of Alexandria email system.  
DO NOT CLICK any links or download attachments unless the contents are from a trusted source.

The current plans show that the city intends to create a superfluous second sidewalk that will interrupt one of the few remaining natural terrains in our area. To what point? The sidewalk on Bellefonte is sufficient to connect Kettle Park to other areas of the neighborhood.

Per the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) "Hard, dry surfaces in urban areas – such as roofs, sidewalks, roads, buildings, and parking lots – provide less shade and moisture than natural landscapes and therefore contribute to higher temperatures." Additionally, sidewalks generate 5x more harmful runoff than natural landscapes.

Alexandria should hold itself accountable to make the city a place that can sustain life, not just make it look flashy for the next few years.

Beyond the entryway for a truck to reach the drain in Kettle Park, a 6-8' wide path is an absurd idea—most standard wheelchair widths range between 2-3', and an average stroller width is 2'—should the two cross each other's paths, a sidewalk 5' in width would be plenty spacious.

Removing more foliage to create another unnecessarily wide sidewalk where there is an existing path through cleared vegetation seems at best thoughtless and at worst intentionally destructive. The logical plan is to put the connecting path where the dirt path is now, alongside the far end of the soccer fields.

Lastly, the hedges the city wants to take down provide a noise barrier to Route 1 traffic, a visual barrier for the privacy within our homes and to the clutter of our continually overcrowded neighborhood, and a boon to the ecosystem by providing habitats for wildlife and oxygen for all.

Please stop ripping up the nature we need in the name of "progress."

Please review [https://www3.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/nps\\_urban-facts\\_final.pdf](https://www3.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/nps_urban-facts_final.pdf)

June 13, 2023

Dear City Council Members,

I am writing on behalf of the Alexandria Baseball Community, including Alexandria Little League, Alexandria City High School Baseball, Bishop Ireton High School Baseball, the Alexandria Reds baseball travel organization, and Alexandria American Legion Baseball Post 24.

We strongly support all of the proposed improvements to Eugene Simpson Park, including improved dugouts at both fields, a new dedicated concession stand at Big Simpson, new press boxes and bleachers at both fields, a permanent storage building, a larger parking area, and the hardscape improvements with walkways, trees, picnic areas, and passive areas.

But mostly we strongly support the field improvements for both fields. Improved natural turf and drainage at Little Simpson will greatly enhance the usability of that field.

We very specifically want to call out the need for synthetic turf at Big Simpson Field.

The lack of big fields in the city means the Alexandria baseball community uses the two current 60x90 fields almost nonstop. Having only 2 big fields in the city shared with all of the baseball organizations listed above as well as the collegiate team, the Alexandria Aces, means that we use the fields constantly during the spring, summer, and fall baseball seasons.

This much overuse can cause the grass on the field to become bare which has a negative effect on drainage, taking the big fields offline even longer at times. Grass needs recovery time. It also means that when there is significant rain, fields will be

closed for numerous organizations, often for several days. Synthetic turf fields are much more durable than natural turf fields, allowing broader access for players and teams.

Baseball is the only sport in the city that consistently gets cancelled due to weather. All of the other sports – including soccer, football, lacrosse, field hockey, basketball, football, et.al., either have multiple synthetic turf options or indoor options.

Please move forward with this project including synthetic turf at Big Simpson so baseball also has an option to allow play throughout entire seasons, rain or shine.

Sincerely,

Sherry Reilly, Alexandria Little League President

Tom Blackwood, Alexandria Little League Board Member

Mike Olerta, Alexandria City High School Varsity Coach

Zach Hecker, Alexandria City High School JV Coach

James Parker, Alexandria City High School Athletic Director

Kevin O'Keefe, Bishop Ireton Varsity Coach

Ben Matthews, Alexandria Reds Owner

Allan Lee, Alexandria American Legion Post 24 Head Coach

(I submitted this via email so if you got that, this is a duplicate. I am also submitting this via the speaker request but I will not be at meeting so please use this as a replacement)

Council Members,

My name is Thomas Blackwood and I am writing to support the Simpson Park Stadium Renovation, Item 20 in the docket, in its entirety.

I also would like to comment specifically to the recommendation to convert the large baseball field from natural grass to synthetic turf.

As background, I am currently a volunteer board member for Alexandria Little League. I also have two current high school baseball players that have played on all of Alexandria's baseball fields over the past 7-8 years. There seems to be some confusion as to why there is support for a turf field. It is almost entirely because there is a shortage of diamond field space in Alexandria and the space that we have is frequently shut down due to bad weather. It really has nothing to do with grass versus turf as a playing field but is more geared towards which surface would be usable the greatest amount of time.

A lot of the complaints towards turf are not really addressing the concept of usability. These include:

- Baseball should be played on grass.
  - I would agree if we could have a field like the Washington Nationals have. It can take a large rainstorm and be ready to play within an hour due to the specific way it was built but I am pretty sure that not only can Alexandria not afford to build a field that way, we also do not have the maintenance budget to upkeep it. That means our grass fields are frequently closed for practices and games. Demand is increasing in Alexandria and it is hard for me to envision building one or more additional grass fields. Therefore a synthetic turf field would be one way that we could get the fields back into use shortly after a rain event.
- Turf fields get too hot to play on.
  - I think the evidence around the country would show that is not really accurate. Can it get hot, yes but grass fields in the south get hot also. Proper coaching techniques and hydration would ensure that players are being monitored during practices and games to avoid any heat-caused problems. I also think that if this was happening on a large scale we would already be seeing problems with Alexandria Soccer Association which plays perhaps 60-70% of their games on synthetic turf. I would also argue that the typical soccer player is exerting themselves much more than the typical baseball player.
- The materials in turf fields can cause health problems.
  - This is a fairly controversial statement with numerous viewpoints on both sides. All I can add is that based on this link: <https://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/federal-research-recycled-tire-crumb-used-playing-fields> it seems like the EPA presents studies that show

a variety of opinions including: *"In general, the findings from the report support the premise that while chemicals are present as expected in the tire crumb rubber, human exposure appears to be limited based on what is released into air or simulated biological fluids (gastric fluid, saliva and sweat)."* There is also a possibility that grass fields may include pesticides or fertilizers although I am unsure if Alexandria uses them on their fields.

- There does not seem to be any problems with drainage this year.
  - I would agree, we have had a fairly dry spring. There were, however, more than a few Saturdays when the ACHS baseball team needed to practice indoors. Luckily they do not play many Saturday games so it was not a large distraction this year. Last year was a different story. It was much wetter and schedules need to be modified. Frank Mann had even larger drainage problems. The Bishop Ireton team missed numerous days of practice and had home games converted to away games to get them in. They also shared Simpson a few times just so they could just get some practices in. If Simpson is converted to synthetic turf this cooperation could be expanded in times of heavy rain and Frank Mann closures. Additionally, within the past 3 years it was so wet that the entire Northern Region baseball tournament had to play games on the few turf fields in the area.
- Grass fields could be used by the public in much the same way that synthetic turf fields are used today.
  - I don't believe this is accurate. There is a recommended amount of time that grass fields can be used in order to allow the grass to recover. A turf field could be opened up to general use much the way the soccer fields are today but we are asking for trouble if we do that with a grass field.
- The Del Ray Citizen's Association is against synthetic turf.
  - I do not believe the Parks Department had a chance to talk with DRCA due to technology problems on the scheduled date. I also do not believe that the Land Use Committee reached out to the larger DRCA membership to see the vast majority of the members opinions. I know I did not get asked.

Thank you for taking the time to read this and please feel free to contact me with any questions. Unfortunately I cannot attend the City Council meeting on Saturday to present my thoughts vocally. I will be at a baseball tournament with my two high school sons. It is being played in Fairfax County on a new complex that has 4 synthetic turf fields.

Tom Blackwood

801 Ramsey Street, Alexandria, VA, 22301

703-548-9160

Dear City Council Members,

I write to you regarding the proposed renovations of Simpson Park in the northeast portion adjacent to the alleyway behind Duncan and E. Bellefonte avenues. I, my family, and many of our immediate neighbors have attempted to voice our opposition to many aspects of this proposed renovation at as many community meetings as have been made accessible as well as through written comment.

As a resident of E. Bellefonte, our neighborhood's general position is in opposition solely to the suggested redevelopment of the northeastern portion of the park that is currently naturally landscaped (area beyond little Simpson and soccer fields adjacent to that back alley). Our families and neighbors have enjoyed this quiet area of the park as we have almost no backyards due to the proximity and width of the alleyway. My 15-year old son and his friends have grown up playing catch and soccer informally in that area (especially when the fields are occupied/locked). Our neighbors frequently enjoy the quiet green space for picnics, play with their children or play catch with their dogs. The treeline separating that area from the more exposed field on the Rt. 1 side creates a beautiful natural barrier from the lights and noises of traffic on Rt. 1. The current plan includes a proposal to re-development and "hardscape" this area with paved 8-foot wide sidewalks, benches, and bike racks and to generally remove this treeline which we in the immediate neighborhood vehemently oppose.

We have met with Beth Znidersic, Division Chief of the Department of Recreation, Parks and Cultural Activities on multiple occasions now to voice our concerns. While the neighborhood is not entirely cohesive on the concerns voiced or their respective import, the general consensus is concern over the removal of existing trees and vegetation which serve as a natural barrier between our homes and Route 1 as well as the division (and destruction) of the open green space which currently serves a multitude of recreational activities as mentioned above.

The current plan proposes the addition of a large oval-shaped sidewalk path 8 feet in diameter (for comparison the current alley itself is approximately 9 feet wide), presumably to foster increased accessibility to the athletic fields. While we are not unsympathetic to those with specific mobility needs, the reality is that very few people currently access the parks from this direction and those few who do utilize the alleyway itself. Additionally, with competitive street parking in that direction (E. Bellefonte, E. Howell, etc.), it seems highly unlikely that many would utilize these pathways to access the park. In short, there appears to be no specific need for an extensive network of 8-foot wide sidewalks through one of the last remaining natural greenspaces in this area of the City.

We have suggested compromises such as attempting to plant new trees and vegetation generally in the area of the existing treeline (assuming certain existing trees must be removed) and utilizing only a single sidewalk which runs from the corner of E. Bellefonte Avenue and Rt. 1 towards and around the existing soccer fields or, and what would perhaps make the most sense, creating an access point to the soccer fields on the Rt. 1/Monroe Avenue side.



In sum, the suggested renovations to the northeast portion of Simpson Park appear to be a solution in search of a problem. Unlike the opposing sides debating the space allocated to the baseball fields and the dog park, we have quite simply heard no one express significant support for the suggested renovations in this area of the park other than vague references to a 2014 city-wide survey. Rather, the neighbors and property owners immediately adjacent to this area have attempted as best as possible to voice their concern and opposition.

Respectfully submitted for your consideration,

*Sebastian M. Norton*  
525 E. Bellefonte Ave.

## CouncilComment@alexandriava.gov

---

**From:** Brian Collins <brian.c1@me.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 16, 2023 1:20 PM  
**To:** CouncilComment@alexandriava.gov  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]Comments - 17 June City Council Artificial Turf (Plastic Grass) Proposal for Big Simpson Field  
**Attachments:** Comments on Big Simpson Field Artificial (Plastic Grass) Proposal....pdf

You don't often get email from brian.c1@me.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

All, thank you for your role with City Council to guide our city to wise decisions.

Below in the pdf are my comments specifically regarding the proposal to replace natural grass sod turf with artificial (Plastic) Grass at Big Simpson Baseball Field. This proposal is very poorly justified and likely an expensive mistake making our city hotter and more toxic. It is not aligned with Alexandria Eco-City objectives.

Docket 20 Item 23-1227

Development Special Use Permit #2023-10003

426 East Monroe Avenue - Simpson Stadium Park renovation

---

DISCLAIMER: This message was sent from outside the City of Alexandria email system.  
DO NOT CLICK any links or download attachments unless the contents are from a trusted source.

Please let me know if you have any comments or questions or need anything.

Thanks, Brian  
Brian Collins  
500 E. Glendale Ave.

## **Comments on Big Simpson Field Artificial (Plastic Grass) Proposal (6/16/2023)**

1. Main point - the proposal to replace a grass field at Big Simpson is very weak and appears to be biased towards the use of plastic grass by ignoring many cost, safety, and environment issues that are left unaddressed - this proposal should be tabled until more thorough research is performed to ensure this is the right choice for Alexandria.
2. The proposal does not identify any evidence of a weakness of the existing baseball field requiring a change - visual observation is that it is in fine shape and purely a preference request by the city baseball association/group
3. The Baseball teams fence and lock off non-baseball use - recent conversations confirm almost no games will be played this summer at Big Simpson Field. The planners and recreation department issued zero facts on usage stats - my experience is it sits empty more than 95% of the hours in a year.
4. Citizens are being given mixed messages - some are being told by planning that the Big Simpson

Plastic Grass project is to address neighborhood flooding while others are being told it is to address playability issues. Coaches informally indicate there are no drainage issues affecting playability - some say they prefer plastic over dirt as justification.

5. The cost of replacing the plastic field is not mentioned in the proposal- however the known cost of similar scope replacement is over \$1M (If the city goes with organic rubber costs will be significantly higher if the city uses organic rubber EPDM - see below)
6. There is no cost comparison of plastic grass with replacing the grass dirt sod however the known cost is \$40K (based on informal surveys with local sod companies) to replace from edge to edge with real sod dirt grass (the field can be replaced well over 20x at 100% of the sod - mostly all that will be needed are spot repairs)
7. Plastic grass fields have an 8-10 year life span because foot traffic shaves micro plastics off grass
8. The analysis alludes to increased usage with Plastic Fields however the analysis is absent and fails to acknowledge unplayability during hot days
9. Plastic fields at Jefferson Houston this year already exceed 48 degrees hotter than sod grass fields at GW Middle School.
10. A "danger" day occurs when the combination of

heat and humidity makes it feel like it's 105°F or hotter. <https://www.climatecentral.org/report/extreme-heat-when-outdoor-sports-become-risky-2019> And, as neuroscientist Kathleen Michels points out: "Any temperature over 120° F can cause skin burns with skin contact in two seconds." <https://www.nrpa.org/parks-recreation-magazine/2019/may/synthetic-sports-fields-and-the-heat-island-effect/>

11. The Alexandria recreation parks division has not identified what heat levels are unsafe for play
12. The plastic field is impermeable - it removes the ability for the soil to absorb water, cool the water and support grass growth - instead the city plans to store water and pipe it into the Potomac and raising sea levels. The city taxes residents for impermeable surfaces.
13. The plastic fields create heat domes that contribute to warming the planet
14. The city website shows a bias highlight artificial turf industry articles and has outdated safety analyses (2015)
15. Crumb rubber recycled tires are known to contain PFAS - the city just learned this year our water exceeds federal PFAS standards and the fields need a fresh safety review for new information (many countries, states, cities are currently restricting plastic grass fields)

16. Plastic grass fields create micro plastics as footwear abrades the plastic blades making it enter the environment.
17. Plastic grass fields are not recycled and create 40,000 pounds of plastic that must go to the landfill every 8-10 years and 400,000 lbs of crumb rubber infill <https://www.theatlantic.com/science/archive/2019/12/artificial-turf-fields-are-piling-no-recycling-fix/603874/>
18. The planning commission says that the new rubber will be organic however the proposed (not guaranteed) Organic Crumb Rubber EPDM (Contains Chemicals Ethylene-Propylene-Diene - Rubber) and is as hot or hotter than recycled tire crumb rubber with yet to be determined unverified health issues/risks at **double/2x** the cost. <https://turfexplorer.com/turf-rubber-infill/>
19. Does the city have a long term budget to replace the plastic fields in 8-10 years?
20. Plastic fields are more high impact and risks to athletes and National sports organizations have players pushing back against playing on plastic - such as the NFL, MLB, and MLS are pushing against plastic grass as unsafe
21. Plastic crumb rubber fields stink like car tires when hot
22. Other towns examined the issue carefully for

example Sharon, MA <https://www.sustainablesharon.org/blog/9-reasons-to-oppose-artificial-turf> <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2022/sep/30/boston-bans-artificial-turf-toxic-forever-chemicals-pfas>

23. The myth that plastic grass fields require zero maintenance is a myth - if body fluids enter a hot field it must be shampooed to avoid the growth of toxic bacteria. The G-Force standards for a playfield (hardness of a field) requires regular maintenance. "Just like any other rug, it will collect bits and pieces of pet and bird droppings and liquids from humans (saliva, blood, or sweat). Unlike natural plant materials, which lose parts and regrow them, the blades and the bedding of artificial turf remain the same. You'll need to rake it to fluff up and straighten the fibers. And you'll need to hose it down with water. In fact, for most professional and recreational spots use, the Synthetic Turf Council suggests the installation of a manual or automatic irrigation system with artificial turf to improve field sanitation and to make it more comfortable for players in warmer climates." <https://wateruseitwisely.com/blog/10-reasons-artificial-turf-may-not-what-youre-looking/>
24. Municipalities and turf companies spray fire retardant chemicals on turf to avoid vandalism fires yet expose children to same.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment - please take your time and request more thorough research on this option before approval. We want to make sure we do not rush to support special interests and make a costly mistake for our taxes, safety, environment and well being of our children/adults.

Thanks, Brian  
Brian Collins  
E. Glendale Ave.



**Summary.** Approve the overall concept of the Simpson Park Renovation with a friendly amendment to modify the current Simpson Parking Lot for Drop Off – Pick Up Only.

- For improved safety and traffic flow, coordinate with YMCA for Enter driveway on Park side; Exit on YMCA side.
- Enlist RPCA neighborhood advocates\park stewards to outreach to local establishments for Simpson Park Parking during peak surges on weekends and sporting events.
- This will preclude reduction in space for dog park. Other sports fields are expanding in size.
- Soccer field should be included in this discussion.

**Alternative Parking.** There is ample parking alternatives (100+ spots in two blocks of Park) instead of the increase (+16)

- **GW Middle School.** Drop off at Simpson and park at GWMS. Shuttle bus for sports events
- **Leslie Ave South of the Park – within two blocks of Simpson.** Discovery Day care (40+); Commonwealth Academy (40); former Rheingold across the street (22); Applied Industrial (20+); Porter Del Ray Apt (6-10 as per DSUP) across the street. Allow weekend or event only parking on Monroe Ave long merge lane off Rt 1 slip road at the gate to soccer field.
- **Dog Park User Parking.** Coordinate with two dog-friendly businesses (Barkhaus & Your Dog's Best Friends) to the North of the park.

**Walkable City and Parking Policy?** All residential or commercial construction or renovation proposals request a parking reduction. Even the new Potomac Yard Metro Station has no available parking. This park renovation should not eliminate green and open space for parking.

- This proposal encourages more automotive uses, increases traffic, and does not comport with the city's transportation, environmental, and health policies.

**Dog Park History.** In 1996, Sandy Modell (Wholistic Hound) led dog owners to create the first NOVA dog park at Simpson Park next to Little Simpson Ballfield. The DP was later moved to its current location along Monroe Ave.

**Bridge Debris.** In 2007, the city tore down the old Monroe Ave Bridge and allowed the construction company to push bridge debris into the DP that created the berm\slope.

**Danger to Dogs.** The dangerous material (rebar, concrete, glass, and metal) caused injured paws so that dog owners created the Simpson Park Dog Owner Group (SPDOG) in 2011 to work with the city on DP improvements.

**PARKNERship.** SPDOG was a pilot member of the city's PARKnership – a public-private partnership with Recreation Parks and Cultural Activities (RPCA). SPDOG collaborated with the Del Ray Business Assn, Del Ray Citizens Assn, and local dog-related businesses for sponsors to raise matching funds to install DP lights and advocate for other improvements.

**"Final Design."** By 2019, after 12 years of collaboration with the city, the city provided its "final" DP renovation design. In March 2023, without SPDOG input or knowledge, the city announced a modified park renovation plan that decreased the DP.

**Parking Increase = Reduce Dog Park.** The plan will decrease greenspace by increasing the parking lot and push the basketball court into the DP. Other fields expand and DP is the only one reduced. This reduction was not in the original plan. Move all to the east and take 8' from soccer field - City Parks Manual says only 10' required, Simpson soccer field has 18'

#### **New Simpson Park Renovation.**

- Despite 12 years of SPDOG working with the city, the city did not acknowledge this engagement.
- SPDOG is the only organization to raise matching funds, enter into MOU agreements, and conduct volunteer park clean ups etc. yet, the DP is the only field in Simpson being proposed for a decrease in space while the ball field expands.
- Over the 12 years, SPDOG has dealt with at least 15 different RPCA & PID officials who come and go in the attrition merry-go-round of city employees.
- During this time, SPDOG members' dogs have aged and died; and discouragement, loss of trust, and willingness to collaborate with the city has waned. So, stop please leave original DP design.

**Other Dog Park on Monroe.** City allowed the Potomac Yard developer to move the designated PY Dog Park to the edge of its property on Monroe. So, this Dog Park was supposed to be for Potomac Yard residents.



**Commonwealth Academy Parking Lot (30+ spots)**



**Discovery Day Care Parking Lot (40+ spots)**



**Leslie Ave Street Parking and Applied Industries Parking Lot**



**Former Rheingold Parking Lot Across of Simpson Parking Lot**