

Auditor Ordinance Update Summary

The ad hoc working group reached consensus on all items but the one below. The Independent Policing Review Board proposed language is included in the current proposed ordinance before Council. The Auditor and City Staff recommend that Council adopt alternate language.

Ordinance Provision	Board Proposed Language (Existing Proposed)	City Staff Proposed Language
Sec. 4-1-6 Independent policing auditor duties and responsibilities (s)	(s) apply to the Alexandria Circuit Court for a subpoena compelling the attendance of such witness or the production of such books, papers, and other evidence, and the court may, upon good cause shown, cause the subpoena to be issued;	(s) apply to the Alexandria Circuit Court for a subpoena compelling the attendance of such witness or the production of such books, papers, and other evidence, and the court may, upon good cause shown, cause the subpoena to be issued; enter into a MOU with APD, executed by the Police Chief, the Independent Policing Auditor and the City Manager, that defines the terms of the relationships and mutual obligations between AIPA and APD, including but not limited to, the timely exchange of information and the efficient coordination of APD and AIPA investigations <i>and establishes the Police Chief's duty to compel APD employees to cooperate fully with AIPA investigations and answer truthfully and completely all questions directed to them by AIPA investigators.</i>

- **Board Rationale:** Prefers subpoena power for the Auditor to enable the Board to carry out its scope of work.
- **City Staff Rationale:** Prefers text focused on a Chief’s right to compel officers to comply, consistent with existing Garrity Rights.
- **Independent Policing Auditor:** Concurs with city staff rationale replacing (r) with (s) establishing Police Chief’s duty to compel employees to cooperate fully with AIPA investigations and answer truthfully and completely all questions directed to them.